

CONICAL ZETA VALUES AND THEIR DOUBLE SUBDIVISION RELATIONS

LI GUO, SYLVIE PAYCHA, AND BIN ZHANG

ABSTRACT. We introduce the concept of a conical zeta value as a geometric generalization of a multiple zeta value in the context of convex cones. The quasi-shuffle and shuffle relations of multiple zeta values are generalized to open cone subdivision and closed cone subdivision relations respectively for conical zeta values. In order to achieve the closed cone subdivision relation, we also interpret linear relations among fractions as subdivisions of decorated closed cones. As a generalization of the double shuffle relation of multiple zeta values, we give the double subdivision relation of conical zeta values and formulate the extended double subdivision relation conjecture for conical zeta values.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Multiple zeta values and conical zeta values	1
1.2. Double shuffle and double subdivision relations	2
1.3. Layout of the paper	3
2. Convex cones and conical zeta values	4
2.1. Polyhedral cones	4
2.2. Subdivision of cones	5
2.3. Conical zeta values and open subdivision relations	7
3. Closed cones and simple fractions	9
3.1. From closed cones to simple fractions	10
3.2. Subdivisions of cones and simple fractions	13
4. Decorated cones and pure fractions	17
4.1. Decorated closed cones	17
4.2. Subdivision of decorated cones and pure fractions	19
5. Conical zeta values revisited: double subdivision relations and Shintani zeta values	22
5.1. Closed subdivision relation and shuffle relation	22
5.2. Double subdivision relations and double shuffle relations	25
5.3. Conical zeta values and Shintani zeta values	30
References	33

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Multiple zeta values and conical zeta values. Multiple zeta values (MZVs) are special values of the multi-variable analytic function

$$(1) \quad \zeta(s_1, \dots, s_k) = \sum_{n_1 > \dots > n_k > 0} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} \cdots n_k^{s_k}}$$

Date: January 16, 2013.

at integers $s_1 \geq 2, s_i \geq 1, 1 \leq i \leq k$. Their study in the two variable case goes back to Goldbach and Euler. The general concept was introduced in the early 1990s, leading to developments in both mathematics [17, 25], where MZVs conjecturally span (periods of) mixed Tate motives, and physics [5], where MZVs mysteriously appeared in Feynman integral computations. Since then the subject has been studied intensively with interactions to a broad range of areas, including arithmetic geometry, combinatorics, number theory, knot theory, Hopf algebra, quantum field theory and mirror symmetry [1, 3, 4, 6, 10, 12, 16, 14, 18, 20, 23, 24, 26].

MZVs have several generalizations, such as Hurwitz multiple zeta values and multiple polylogarithms. In this paper we give a geometric generalization of MZVs in the context of convex cones. For an open convex cones $C = \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbb{R}_{>0} v_i$ spanned by vectors $v_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^k, 1 \leq i \leq r$, we define the conical zeta function associated with C by

$$\zeta(C; s_1, \dots, s_k) := \sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_k) \in C \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^k} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} \dots n_k^{s_k}}, s_i \in \mathbb{C}, 1 \leq i \leq k,$$

where it converges and define a **conical zeta value** to be the value of the function at nonnegative integer arguments. Such values contain MZVs as special cases when the cones are taken to be Chen cones $\{x_1 > \dots > x_k > 0\}$.

1.2. Double shuffle and double subdivision relations. A major goal in the study of MZVs is to determine all algebraic relations among the MZVs. According to the Double Shuffle Conjecture [16, 18], all such relations come from the shuffle and quasi-shuffle relations (the extended double shuffle relation) that encode products of MZVs from their summation and integration representations [20]. In this spirit, we generalize the double shuffle relation of MZVs to conical zeta values as subdivisions of open and closed cones.

The double shuffle relation can be summarized in the following commutative diagram that we will generalize to conical zeta values.

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{H}_0^*, *) & \xleftarrow{\eta} & (\mathcal{H}_0^{\text{III}}, \text{III}) \\ & \searrow \zeta^* \quad \swarrow \zeta^{\text{III}} & \\ & \text{QMZV} & \end{array}$$

Here

- (a) $\mathcal{H}_0^* := \mathbb{Q} 1 \oplus \bigoplus_{s_1, \dots, s_k \geq 1, s_1 \geq 2, k \geq 1} z_{s_1} \dots z_{s_k}$ is the quasi-shuffle algebra [15] with the quasi-shuffle product $*$, encoding the MZVs by the algebra homomorphism

$$\zeta^* : \mathcal{H}_0^* \rightarrow \text{QMZV}, \quad z_{s_1} \dots z_{s_k} \mapsto \zeta(s_1, \dots, s_k);$$

- (b) $\mathcal{H}_0^{\text{III}} := \mathbb{Q} 1 \oplus \bigoplus_{s_1, \dots, s_k \geq 1, s_1 \geq 2, k \geq 1} x_0^{s_1-1} x_1 \dots x_0^{s_k-1} x_1$ is the shuffle algebra with the shuffle product III , encoding the MZVs by the algebra homomorphism

$$\zeta^{\text{III}} : \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{III}} \rightarrow \text{QMZV}, \quad x_0^{s_1-1} x_1 \dots x_0^{s_k-1} x_1$$

and

- (c) $\eta : \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{III}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_0^*, x_0^{s_1-1} x_1 \dots x_0^{s_k-1} x_1 \mapsto z_{s_1} \dots z_{s_k}$, is the obvious linear bijection.

The Double Shuffle Conjecture states that the kernel of ζ^* is the ideal of $\mathcal{H}_0^*$ generated by the set

$$\{w_1 * w_2 - \eta^{-1}(\eta(w_1) \text{III} \eta(w_2)), \mid w_1 \in \{z_1\} \cup \mathcal{H}_0^*, w_2 \in \mathcal{H}_0^*\}.$$

The quasi-shuffle (stuffle) encoding ζ^* of MZVs follows directly from the definition of MZVs. This is generalized to CZVs as open cone subdivisions.

The shuffle encoding ζ^{III} is less direct. It is derived by the integral representation of MZVs [20] or, alternatively, from the integral representation of the multiple zeta fractions [11]. As shown there, the multiple zeta fractions

$$(3) \quad \mathfrak{f}_{[u_1, \dots, u_k]}^{[s_1, \dots, s_k]} := \frac{1}{(u_1 + \dots + u_k)^{s_1} (u_2 + \dots + u_k)^{s_2} \dots u_k^{s_k}}, \quad s_i, u_i \geq 1, 1 \leq i \leq k$$

on the one hand give multiple zeta values

$$(4) \quad \zeta(s_1, \dots, s_k) = \sum_{u_1, \dots, u_k \geq 1} \mathfrak{f}_{[u_1, \dots, u_k]}^{[s_1, \dots, s_k]}$$

and on the other hand satisfy the shuffle relation. The shuffle relation of MZVs then follows by summing over the u_i s.

To generalize the shuffle relation of MZVs to CZVs, we interpret the shuffle relation of multiple zeta fractions geometrically, starting from the simple observation that relations among fractions such as

$$\frac{1}{u_1(u_1 + u_2)} + \frac{1}{u_2(u_1 + u_2)} = \frac{1}{u_1 u_2}$$

are related to subdivisions of cones, here the cone $x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0$ is seen as a union of the cones $x_1 \geq x_2 \geq 0$ and $x_2 \geq x_1 \geq 0$. More generally, we interpret linear relations among simple fractions i.e., of the type $\frac{1}{L_1 L_2 \dots L_k}$ where $L_1, \dots, L_k$ are linear independent linear forms, to subdivisions of simplicial cones. A differentiation procedure then further relates fractions $\frac{1}{L_1^{s_1} L_2^{s_2} \dots L_k^{s_k}}$ with $s_i \in \mathbb{N}$, to what we call algebraic subdivisions of decorated cones obtained from differentiating geometric subdivisions of the underlying geometric cones. Such fractions arise as Laplace transforms: for example, if $L_i = u_i + \dots + u_k$, for $s_1 > 1, s_2 \geq 1, \dots, s_k \geq 1$, we observe that the multiple zeta fractions

$$\mathfrak{f}_{[u_1, \dots, u_k]}^{[s_1, \dots, s_k]} = \frac{1}{L_1^{s_1} L_2^{s_2} \dots L_k^{s_k}}$$

can be written as differentiated Laplace transforms on the closed cone $x_1 \geq \dots \geq x_k \geq 0$ (see Proposition (4.8) for notations):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{f}_{[u_1, \dots, u_k]}^{[s_1, \dots, s_k]} &= \frac{1}{(s_1 - 1)! \dots (s_k - 1)!} \partial_{L_1^*}^{s_1-1} \dots \partial_{L_k^*}^{s_k-1} \frac{1}{L_1 \dots L_k} \\ &= \frac{1}{(s_1 - 1)! \dots (s_k - 1)!} \partial_{L_1^*}^{s_1-1} \dots \partial_{L_k^*}^{s_k-1} \int_{x_1 > \dots > x_k > 0} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^k x_i u_i} dx_1 \dots dx_k. \end{aligned}$$

This is the starting point for our generalization of the double shuffle relation among zeta values associated with more general cones. Eventually we obtain a geometric interpretation of the commutative diagram in Eq. (2) and generalize it to a commutative diagram in Eq. (30) of double subdivision relation for CZVs.

1.3. Layout of the paper. After summarizing concepts and basic facts on convex cones, we give in Section 2 the definition of conical zeta values and their open subdivision relation as a generalization of the stuffle (quasi-shuffle) relation of MZVs. In order to generalize the shuffle relation of MZVs to CZVs, we generalize the shuffle relation of multiple zeta fractions to a suitable relation for a much larger class of fractions derived from CZVs by means of a differentiation procedure

similar to the one described above in the case of MZVs. We achieve this in two steps. In Section 3, we relate via a bijection closed cones modulo subdivisions to simple fractions. Thus linear relations among simple fractions are precisely those coming from subdivisions of closed simplicial cones. By means of the natural differential structure on fractions, in Section 4 we infer from this bijection a one to one correspondence between decorated cones modulo subdivision and pure fractions. This correspondence between cones and fractions is applied in Section 5 to provide closed subdivision relations of CZVs when expressed as Shintani zeta values. In doing so, the shuffle product of multiple zeta fractions seen as decompositions of fractions with linear poles is reflected geometrically as subdivisions of the closed Chen cones. Combining the open and closed subdivision relations with the concept of cone pairs gives the double subdivision relation of CVZs that generalizes the double shuffle relation of MZVs. Finally it is shown that CVZs and Shintani zeta values span the same linear space.

In this paper we shall not touch on divergent conical zeta values, which will be the subject of a forthcoming paper. Divergent MZVs, which in recent years have been studied in the algebraic framework of Connes and Kreimer [7] inspired by the method of renormalization of quantum field theory, can be defined using several approaches such as [2, 12, 21]. In this forthcoming paper, we construct a coalgebra structure on cones and as an application, we show that renormalization of conical zeta values recovers the local Euler-Maclaurin formula [2, 10].

2. CONVEX CONES AND CONICAL ZETA VALUES

2.1. Polyhedral cones. We first collect basic notations and facts (mostly following [8] and [27]) on cones that will be used in this paper. Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a field and let $k \geq 0$ be an integer. In practice K is usually the field $\mathbb{Q}$ of rational numbers.

(a) A **closed (polyhedral) cone** (resp. An **open (polyhedral) cone**) in K^k is the convex set

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle^c &:= K_{\geq 0}v_1 + \dots + K_{\geq 0}v_n, \\ (\text{resp. } \langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle^o &:= K_{> 0}v_1 + \dots + K_{> 0}v_n), \quad v_i \in K_{\geq 0}^k, 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

(b) A cone is always taken to be a closed or open polyhedral cone. In particular, the term polyhedral will be omitted in this paper and we sometimes write $\langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle$ when the closedness or the openness does not play any role.

(c) For a cone $C = \langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle$ in K^k and a field $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, let $C(L)$ denote $L_{\geq 0}v_1 + \dots + L_{\geq 0}v_n$ if C is closed and $L_{> 0}v_1 + \dots + L_{> 0}v_n$ if C is open.

(d) The set $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ in the definition of a cone is called the **generating set** or the **spanning set** of the cone. The dimension of the K -linear subspace generated by the cone is called its **dimension**.

(e) A closed cone in K^k can also be described as the intersection $\cap_i H_{u_i}$ of finitely many half spaces $H_{u_i} = \{x \in K^k \mid u_i(x) \geq 0\}$ defined by linear functionals u_i with K -coefficients on K^k (see e.g. Theorem 1.3 in [27]).

(f) Let $\mathcal{C}_k^c(K)$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}_k^o(K)$) denote the set of closed (resp. open cones) in K^k , $k \geq 1$. For $k = 0$ we set $\mathcal{C}_0^c(K) = \{0\}$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}_0^o(K) = \{0\}$) by convention. The natural inclusions $\mathcal{C}_k^c(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{k+1}^c(K)$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}_k^o(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{k+1}^o(K)$) induced by the natural inclusion $K^k \rightarrow K^{k+1}$, give rise to the direct limit set $\mathcal{C}^c(K) = \varinjlim \mathcal{C}_k^c(K)$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}^o(K) = \varinjlim \mathcal{C}_k^o(K)$).

(g) A **simplicial cone** or a **simplex cone** is a cone spanned by linearly independent vectors.

(h) A cone in $\mathbb{Q}^k$ is called a **rational cone**. Thus a rational cone is spanned by vectors in $\mathbb{Q}^k$ (equivalently in $\mathbb{Z}^k$).

- (i) A **smooth cone** is a rational cone with a spanning set that is a part of a basis of $\mathbb{Z}^k \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$. In this case, the spanning set is unique and is called the **primary set** of the cone.
- (j) A cone is called **strongly convex** if it does not contain any linear subspace.
- (k) A **face** of a closed cone $\langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle^c$ in K^k is a subset of the form $\langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle^c \cap \{u = 0\}$, where $u : K^k \rightarrow K$ is a linear function with K -coefficients which is non-negative on $\langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle^c$.
- (l) A **face** of an open cone $\langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle^o$ in K^k is an open cone of the form $\langle v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_r} \rangle^o(K)$ where $\langle v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_r} \rangle^c(K)$ is a face of $\langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle^c$.
- (m) A face F of a cone C is again a cone and we write $F \leq C$. If F is a proper face of a cone C we write $F < C$. A 1-dimensional face is called an **edge**. A codimension 1 face is called a **facet**.
- (n) For $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ and $\vec{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_k)$ in $\mathbb{R}^k$, let $(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$ denote the inner product $x_1 y_1 + \dots + x_k y_k$. Through this inner product, $\mathbb{R}^k$ is identified with its own dual space $(\mathbb{R}^k)^*$.

2.2. Subdivision of cones. In this subsection, we recall some facts about subdivisions of polyhedral cones. For the sake of completeness, we provide proofs for some of the results.

Definition 2.1. (a) A **subdivision of a closed cone** $C \in \mathcal{C}_k^c(K)$ is a set $\{C_1, \dots, C_r\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_k^c(K)$ such that

- (i) $C = \bigcup_{i=1}^r C_i$,
- (ii) $C_1, \dots, C_r$ have the same dimension as C and
- (iii) intersect along their faces i.e., $C_i \cap C_j$ is a face of both C_i and C_j .

(b) A **subdivision of an open cone** C is the set of the relative interiors of the closed cones in

$$(6) \quad \{\cap_{j=1}^t D_{i_j} \mid \{i_1, \dots, i_t\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\}, 1 \leq t \leq r\}$$

where $\{D_1, \dots, D_t\}$ is a subdivision of the closure $\overline{C}$ of C . By convention, the relative interior of $\{0\}$ is $\{0\}$.

(c) A subdivision of a rational cone is called **smooth** if all the cones in the subdivision are smooth.

Proposition 2.2. (a) Any cone in K^n can be subdivided into strongly convex simplicial cones in K^n .

(b) Any strongly convex simplicial rational cone can be subdivided into smooth cones.

Proof. (a) By taking the intersections with coordinate orthants, we can assume that the cone is strongly convex.

Now for a strongly convex cone C in K^n , we take its barycenter type subdivision built as follows. In the following we identify a point M in K^n with the vector $\vec{OM} = v$. For each face F of C , take a vector $v_F \in K^n$ in the relative interior of F . Note that, since $F(\mathbb{Q}) \supseteq F$ and $F(\mathbb{Q})$ is dense in $F(\mathbb{R})$, such a vector always exists. Let $n = \dim(C)$. If the cone C is open, then the open cones $\langle v_{F_1}, \dots, v_{F_\ell} \rangle^o \subset C$ with $F_1 < \dots < F_\ell, 0 \leq \ell \leq n$, are simplicial and intersect along their faces. If the cone C is closed, then the closed cones $\langle v_{F_1}, \dots, v_{F_n} \rangle^c$ with $F_1 < \dots < F_n$, are simplicial and intersect along their faces. Thus to prove that this gives a subdivision, we only need to prove that the union of these cones is C .

First we prove this for a closed cone C . We proceed by induction on the dimension n of C . Since the case $n = 1$ is trivial, we assume that $n \geq 2$. For any vector v in C , if v is a multiple of the vector v_C chosen in the relative interior of C as above, then we have the conclusion. Otherwise the vectors v and v_C span a 2-dimensional linear space V . Let $K_{\geq 0}v_1$ and $K_{\geq 0}v_2$ be the outmost

intersections. Then by convexity, $\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$ is in $C(K)$. By the choice of v_1 and v_2 , $\langle u_1, u_2 \rangle = V \cap C$. Thus the intersection of V with the boundary of C are the two rays $K_{\geq 0}u_1$ and $K_{\geq 0}u_2$. The vectors v_1, v_2 lie in some facets since the boundary of C is the union of its facets. Then v is a non-negative K -linear combination of v_C with one of the two vectors v_1 or v_2 , say v_1 . By the induction hypothesis, v_1 is in $\langle v_{F_1}, \dots, v_{F_{n-1}} \rangle^c$ with $F_{v_1} < \dots < F_{v_{n-1}}$ where $F_{v_{n-1}}$ is a facet of C . Then v is in one of the simplicial subdivisions $\langle v_{F_1}, \dots, v_{F_{n-1}}, v_C \rangle^c(K)$.

For an open cone, the proof is similar. The only difference is that the intersection rays $K_{>0}v_1$ and $K_{>0}v_2$ may be in the interior of some lower dimensional faces of C . Let v be a positive K -linear combination of v_C and v_1 as in the closed cone case. Then by the induction hypothesis, v_1 is in $\langle v_{F_1}, \dots, v_{F_r} \rangle^o$ with $F_{v_1} < \dots < F_{v_r}$ and $\dim F_{v_r} \leq n-1$. Thus v is in the simplicial subdivisions $\langle v_{F_1}, \dots, v_{F_r}, v_C \rangle^o$.

(b) See the second exercise on page 48 of [8]. $\square$

Lemma 2.3. (a) For a family of closed cones $\{C_i\}$ in K^k , $1 \leq i \leq m$, that span the same linear subspace of K^n , there is a simplicial subdivision $\{C_{ij}\}$ in K^k of C_i such that any two of C_{ij} either coincide or only intersect along their faces.

(b) For a family of rational closed cones $\{C_i\}$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, that span the same linear subspace of $\mathbb{Q}^n$, there is a smooth subdivision $\{C_{ij}\}$ of C_i such that every two of C_{ij} either coincide or only intersect along their faces.

Proof. (a) Each closed cone C_i can be written as an intersection of half hyperplanes $H_{u_j^i}$, $j = 1, \dots, s_j$

$$C_i = \bigcap_{j=1}^{s_i} H_{u_j^i}, 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

Denote

$$S := \{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq s_i\},$$

and

$$F := \{\nu : S \rightarrow \{1, -1\} \mid \exists i_0, \nu(i_0, j) = 1, \forall j\}.$$

Each element $\nu \in F$ defines a set

$$C_\nu := \bigcap_{i=1}^m \bigcap_{j=1}^{s_i} H_{\nu((i,j))u_j^i},$$

which is a cone though it may be trivial.

For each $1 \leq i_0 \leq m$, consider the set

$$F_{i_0} := \{\nu \in F \mid \nu(i_0, j) = 1 \text{ for all } j \text{ and } \dim C_\nu = n\}.$$

Then $C_{i_0} = \bigcup_{\nu \in F_{i_0}} C_\nu$. Further for $\nu, \mu \in \bigcup_{i=1}^m F_i$, we have

$$C_\mu \cap C_\nu = \bigcap_{(i,j) \in S} H_{\mu(i,j)u_j^i} \cap H_{\nu(i,j)u_j^i} = \left(\bigcap_{\mu(i,j) \neq \nu(i,j)} H_{\mu(i,j)u_j^i} \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{\mu(i,j) \neq \nu(i,j)} \{u_j^i = 0\} \right).$$

We note that the faces of a closed cone $C := \bigcap_{\ell=1}^k H_{u_\ell}$ are of the form $(\bigcap_{\ell \in K'} H_{u_\ell}) \cap (\bigcap_{\ell \in K''} \{u_\ell = 0\})$ for a partition $K' \sqcup K'' = [k]$. Thus $C_\mu \cap C_\nu$ is a face of both C_ν and C_μ .

Therefore, for $1 \leq i \leq m$, the set $\{C_\nu \mid \nu \in F_i\}$ is a subdivision of C_i and any two $\mu, \nu \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m F_i$, C_μ and C_ν either coincide or only intersect along their faces. By subdividing each C_ν , $\nu \in \bigcup_{i=1}^m F_i$ into simplicial cones applying Proposition 2.2.(a), we obtain the simplicial subdivisions of C_i in the proposition.

(b) Taking $K = \mathbb{Q}$ in Item (a), and applying Proposition 2.2.(b) to further subdivide each C_{ij} there into smooth cones, we achieve the desired subdivision. $\square$

2.3. Conical zeta values and open subdivision relations. We now introduce our main concept of study in this paper.

Definition 2.4. Let C be an open cone in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^k$ and let $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{C}^k$. Define the **conical zeta function** by

$$(7) \quad \zeta(C; \vec{s}) = \sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_k) \in C \cap \mathbb{Z}^k} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} \cdots n_k^{s_k}},$$

if the sum converges. Here we have used the convention that $0^s = 1$ for any s .

Note that with this convention, the definition of $\zeta(C; \vec{s})$ does not depend on the integer k such that $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^k$ and $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{C}^k$. Thus we can use $\zeta(C; \vec{s})$ without referring to k . When $s_1, \dots, s_k$ are taken to be integers, we call $\zeta(C; \vec{s})$ a **conical zeta value (CZV)**. Sometimes we use the name **open conical zeta value** also. Let $\mathcal{CZV}^o$ denote the set of convergent conical zeta values. Define the space $\mathbb{Q}\mathcal{CZV}^o$ to be the **space of convergent conical zeta values over $\mathbb{Q}$** .

Lemma 2.5. Let C be an open cone in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^k$. For $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $s_i \geq 2$, $\zeta(C; \vec{s})$ is convergent.

Proof. Let C be the first coordinate orthant $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$. Then

$$\zeta(C; \vec{s}) = \prod_{i=1}^k \zeta(s_i)$$

and hence is convergent if $s_i \geq 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then the statement holds for any open cone $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$ since $\zeta(C; \vec{s}) \leq \zeta(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n; \vec{s})$. $\square$

An (open or closed) **Chen cone** of dimension k is a (open or closed) cone $C_{k,\sigma}$ spanned by the vectors $\{e_{\sigma(1)}, e_{\sigma(1)} + e_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, e_{\sigma(1)} + \dots + e_{\sigma(k)}\}$ where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\sigma \in S_n$, S_n is the symmetric group on $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Let C_k denote the standard (open or closed) Chen cone spanned by $\{e_1, e_1 + e_2, \dots, e_1 + \dots + e_k\}$.

Proposition 2.6. For any open Chen cone $C_{k,\sigma}$, $k \geq 1$, $\sigma \in S_n$, we have

$$\zeta(C_{k,\sigma}; s_1, \dots, s_n) = \zeta(s_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, s_{\sigma(k)}),$$

where the right hand side is the multiple zeta value.

Therefore the space $\mathbb{QMZV}$ spanned by MZVs over $\mathbb{Q}$ is a subspace of $\mathbb{Q}\mathcal{CZV}^o$.

Proof. An element of $C_{k,\sigma} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} & a_1 e_{\sigma(1)} + a_2 (e_{\sigma(1)} + e_{\sigma(2)}) + \dots + a_k (e_{\sigma(1)} + \dots + e_{\sigma(k)}) \\ &= (a_1 + \dots + a_k) e_{\sigma(1)} + (a_2 + \dots + a_k) e_{\sigma(2)} + \dots + a_k e_{\sigma(k)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_i \in (0, \infty)$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. Hence

$$\zeta(C_{k,\sigma}; s_1, \dots, s_n) = \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{(a_1 + \dots + a_k)^{s_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots a_k^{s_{\sigma(k)}}} = \zeta(s_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, s_{\sigma(k)}).$$

$\square$

From the definition of CZVs, we derive the following lemma.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $\{C_i\}_i$ be a family of open cones that form a subdivision of an open cone C , then*

$$(8) \quad \zeta(C; \vec{s}) = \sum_i \zeta(C_i; \vec{s}).$$

*This is called an **open subdivision relation** of CZVs.*

We next show that open subdivision relations of open Chen cones recover the quasi-shuffle relations of MZVs. First recall the quasi-shuffle encoding of MZVs. Define

$$(9) \quad \mathcal{H}_0^* := \mathbb{Q} 1 \oplus \bigoplus_{s_1, \dots, s_k \geq 1, s_1 \geq 2, k \geq 1} z_{s_1} \cdots z_{s_k},$$

with the quasi-shuffle product $*$ which is defined recursively but can also be defined by the stuffle product as follows. Define

$$St_{k,\ell} = \left\{ (\varphi, \psi) \mid \begin{array}{l} \varphi : [k] \rightarrow [m], \psi : [\ell] \rightarrow [m] \text{ are order preserving,} \\ \text{injective and } \text{im}(\varphi) \cup \text{im}(\psi) = [m] \end{array} \right\}$$

and $\varphi^{-1}(i) = 0$ when $\varphi^{-1}(i) = \emptyset$. Then

$$(10) \quad z_{s_1} \cdots z_{s_k} * z_{s_{k+1}} \cdots z_{s_{k+\ell}} = \sum_{(\varphi, \psi) \in St_{k,\ell}} z_{s_{\varphi^{-1}(1)} + s_{k+\psi^{-1}(1)}} \cdots z_{s_{\varphi^{-1}(m)} + s_{k+\psi^{-1}(m)}}.$$

Then the quasi-shuffle encoding of MZVs is given by the algebra homomorphism

$$\zeta^* : \mathcal{H}_0^* \rightarrow \mathbb{QMZV}, \quad z_{s_1} \cdots z_{s_k} \mapsto \zeta(s_1, \dots, s_k),$$

namely,

$$\zeta(s_1, \dots, s_k) \zeta(s_{k+1}, \dots, s_{k+\ell}) = \zeta^*(z_{s_1} \cdots z_{s_k} * z_{s_{k+1}} \cdots z_{s_{k+\ell}}).$$

We likewise give an open cone encoding of CZVs.

- Definition 2.8.** (a) Let $\mathcal{DC}^o$ be the set of **decorated open cones** consisting of pairs $(C; \vec{s})$ where $C \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}^k$ is an open rational cone and $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^k$.
 (b) Let $\{C_i\}_i$ be an open subdivision of C . Then we also call $\{(C_i; \vec{s})\}_i$ an open subdivision of $(C; \vec{s})$ and denote it by

$$(C; \vec{s}) < \sum_i (C_i; \vec{s}).$$

- (c) Denote $\mathcal{DC}_0^o$ for the subset of $\mathcal{DC}^o$ such that $\zeta(C; \vec{s})$ is convergent.

- (d) Define the linear map

$$(11) \quad \zeta^o : \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{DC}_0^o \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{CZV}^o, \quad (C; \vec{s}) \mapsto \zeta(C; \vec{s}).$$

- (e) Let $\mathcal{DC}\mathcal{H}^o$ (resp. $\mathcal{DC}\mathcal{H}_0^o$) denote the subset of $\mathcal{DC}^o$ of decorated cones $(C, \vec{s})$ with underlying cone C an open Chen cone (resp. that give convergent CZVs).

By definition, $\zeta^o(C; \vec{s}) = \zeta(C; \vec{s})$. Thus the two notations will be used interchangeably; the notation $\zeta^o(C; \vec{s})$ will be used to stress the map ζ^o .

Then we have the bijection

$$\mathcal{H}_0^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{DC}\mathcal{H}_0^o, \quad z_{s_1} \cdots z_{s_k} \mapsto (\langle e_1, \dots, e_1 + \dots + e_k \rangle^o; s_1, \dots, s_k),$$

completing the following commutative diagram of linear maps.

$$(12) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{H}_0^* & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{QDC}\mathcal{H}_0^c & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{QDC}_o^o \\ \downarrow \zeta^* & & & & \downarrow \zeta^o \\ \mathbb{QMZV}^c & \longrightarrow & & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{QCZV}^o \end{array}$$

In this context, we obtain the following

Theorem 2.9. (a) *The quasi-shuffle product in Eq. (10) corresponds to the open subdivision*

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle e_1, \dots, e_1 + \dots + e_k, e_{k+1}, \dots, e_{k+1} + \dots + e_{k+\ell} \rangle^o \\ &= \prod_{(\varphi, \psi) \in S t_{k, \ell}} \langle e_{\varphi^{-1}(1)} + e_{k+\psi^{-1}(1)}, \dots, e_{\varphi^{-1}(1)} + e_{k+\psi^{-1}(1)} + \dots + e_{\varphi^{-1}(m)} + e_{k+\psi^{-1}(m)} \rangle^o. \end{aligned}$$

in the sense that the composition in the top of Eq. (12) sends the right hand side of Eq. (10) to the right hand side of Eq. (13).

(b) *The quasi-shuffle product of MZVs:*

$$\zeta(s_1, \dots, s_k) \zeta(s_{k+1}, \dots, s_{k+\ell}) = \zeta^*(z_{s_1} \cdots z_{s_k} * z_{s_{k+1}} \cdots z_{s_{k+\ell}})$$

coincides with the subdivision of CZVs:

$$\begin{aligned} & \zeta^o(\langle e_1, \dots, e_1 + \dots + e_k, e_{k+1}, \dots, e_{k+1} + \dots + e_{k+\ell} \rangle^o; s_1, \dots, s_k, s_{k+1}, \dots, s_{k+\ell}) \\ &= \sum_{(\varphi, \psi) \in S t_{k, \ell}} \zeta^o(\langle e_{\varphi^{-1}(1)} + e_{k+\psi^{-1}(1)}, \dots, e_{\varphi^{-1}(1)} + e_{k+\psi^{-1}(1)} + \dots + e_{\varphi^{-1}(m)} + e_{k+\psi^{-1}(k)} \rangle^o; s_1, \dots, s_m). \end{aligned}$$

For example, the closed cone subdivision

$$\langle e_1, e_1 \rangle^c = \langle e_1, e_1 + e_2 \rangle^c \cup \langle e_2, e_1 + e_2 \rangle^c$$

by Chen cones gives the open cone subdivision

$$\langle \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle^o; s_1, s_2 \rangle = \langle \langle e_1, e_1 + e_2 \rangle^o; s_1, s_2 \rangle \sqcup \langle \langle e_2, e_1 + e_2 \rangle^o; s_1, s_2 \rangle \sqcup \langle \langle e_1 + e_2 \rangle^o; s_1, s_2 \rangle$$

which recovers the quasi-shuffle relation

$$z_{s_1} * z_{s_2} = z_{s_1} z_{s_2} + z_{s_2} z_{s_1} + z_{s_1 + s_2}.$$

Indeed we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(s_1) \zeta(s_2) &= \zeta^o(\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle^o, s_1, s_2) \\ &= \zeta^o(\langle e_1, e_1 + e_2 \rangle^o, s_1, s_2) + \zeta^o(\langle e_2, e_1 + e_2 \rangle^o, s_1, s_2) + \zeta^o(\langle e_1 + e_2 \rangle^o, s_1, s_2) \\ &= \zeta(s_1, s_2) + \zeta(s_2, s_1) + \zeta(s_1 + s_2). \end{aligned}$$

3. CLOSED CONES AND SIMPLE FRACTIONS

As noted in the introduction, we will establish a class of relations of CZVs that generalizes the shuffle relation of MZVs. Motivated by the approach of multiple zeta fractions outlined in the introduction, we first relate CZVs to a class of fractions and generalize the shuffle relation of multiple zeta fractions to this class of fractions. Our geometric approach of generalizing the shuffle relation consists in encoding all linear relations of these CZV fractions as subdivision relations of closed cones from the CZVs. Thus we now make a digression of our discussion of CZVs to relate closed cones with a family of rational functions, which we call simple fractions. Under this correspondence we show that linear relations among simple fractions have a natural

geometric interpretation as subdivisions of closed cones. This correspondence will be generalized to decorated cones in the next section.

3.1. From closed cones to simple fractions.

Definition 3.1. Let K be a subfield of $\mathbb{R}$. Let $z_i, i \geq 1$ be a countable set of variables and let $\vec{z} = (z_i)_{i \geq 1}$. A **simple fraction** with coefficients in K is a fraction of the form $\frac{1}{L_1 \cdots L_k}$, where $L_1, \dots, L_k \in K[\vec{z}]$ are linearly independent linear functions. Let $\mathcal{S}(K)$ be the K -linear subspace of the quotient field $K(\vec{z})$ of $K[\vec{z}]$ generated by simple fractions with coefficients in K .

Let $K\mathcal{C}^c(K)$ denote the K -vector space spanned by $\mathcal{C}^c(K)$. We will define a natural map from $K\mathcal{C}^c(K)$ to $\mathcal{S}(K)$. Lawrence in [19] (see also [9]) constructed a similar map based on the valuation property. Our map generalizes Lawrence's map in so far as it takes non-zero values for lower dimensional cones in large dimensional spaces.

Let C be a closed simplicial cone in $K_{\geq 0}^n$ with linearly independent generators $v_1, \dots, v_k$. Let $e_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, be the standard basis of K^n . For $1 \leq i \leq k$, let $v_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ji} e_j, a_{ji} \in K$. Define linear functions $L_i = L_{v_i} = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ji} z_j$ and let $A_C = [a_{ij}]$ denote the associated matrix in $M_{n \times k}(K)$ with v_i as column vectors. Let $w(v_1, \dots, v_k)$ or $w(C)$ denote the sum of absolute values of the determinants of all minors of A_C of rank k . Then define

$$(14) \quad \Phi_n(C) := \frac{w(v_1, \dots, v_k)}{L_1 \cdots L_k}.$$

This defines a map Φ_n from the set $\mathcal{C}^c(K)$ of closed simplicial cones in $K_{\geq 0}^n$ to $\mathcal{S}(K)$.

Lemma 3.2. (a) *Let $C = \langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle^c$ be a closed cone of rank n in K^n , then*

$$\Phi_n(C) = (-1)^n \int \cdots \int_{C(\mathbb{R})} \exp(x_1 z_1 + \cdots + x_n z_n) dx_1 \cdots dx_n,$$

where $C(\mathbb{R})$ is the $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ -linear span of C and $\vec{z}$ is any element in

$$\check{C}^- := \{\vec{y} \mid (\vec{y}, c) < 0, \forall c \in C(\mathbb{R})\}.$$

(b) *Let C be a closed simplicial cone in K^k and let $\{C_1, \dots, C_r\}$ be a closed subdivision of C into closed simplicial cones $C_1, \dots, C_r$ in K^k . Then $\Phi_n(C) = \sum_{i=1}^r \Phi_n(C_i)$.*

Proof. (a) Since C is strongly convex, $\check{C}^-$ is a cone of rank n . With our notation, we have

$$(v_1, \dots, v_n) = (e_1, \dots, e_n)A, \quad (L_1, \dots, L_n) = (z_1, \dots, z_n)A.$$

Any point $\sum x_i e_i$ in C can be uniquely expressed as $\sum y_i v_i$ through a change of variables

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n)^T = A(y_1, \dots, y_n)^T.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \cdots \int_{C(\mathbb{R})} \exp(x_1 z_1 + \cdots + x_n z_n) dx_1 \cdots dx_n \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} \cdots \int_0^{+\infty} \exp((z_1, \dots, z_n)A(y_1, \dots, y_n)^T) |\det(A)| dy_1 \cdots dy_n \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_0^{+\infty} \cdots \int_0^{+\infty} \exp((L_1, \dots, L_n)(y_1, \dots, y_n)^T) |\det(A)| dy_1 \cdots dy_n \\
&= |\det(A)| \prod_{i=1}^n \int_0^\infty e^{L_i y_i} dy_i \\
&= (-1)^n \frac{|\det(A)|}{L_1 \cdots L_n}
\end{aligned}$$

where $L_i = (v_i, \vec{z}) < 0$ for given $\vec{z} \in \check{C}^-$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

(b) For a closed cone C of rank n in K^n , let $\vec{z} \in \check{C}^-$ be as given in Item (a). Then the proof follows from Item (a):

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_n(C) &= (-1)^n \int \cdots \int_C e^{x_1 z_1 + \cdots + x_n z_n} dx_1 \cdots dx_n \\
&= (-1)^n \sum_{i=1}^r \int \cdots \int_{C_i} e^{x_1 z_1 + \cdots + x_n z_n} dx_1 \cdots dx_n \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^r \Phi(C_i).
\end{aligned}$$

In general, we can extend a minimal generating set $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$ of a cone C of rank k in K^n to a basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_k, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n\}$ of K^n . For a cone D in the linear space $Kv_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Kv_k$, let $\overline{D}$ denote the cone in K^n generated by D and $v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n$. Then clearly $\{\overline{C}_i\}$ is a simplicial subdivision in K^n of $\overline{C}$.

Let C_i be generated by $w_1^{(i)}, \dots, w_k^{(i)}$ with $w_j^{(i)} = \sum_{\ell=1}^n b_{\ell j}^{(i)} v_\ell$ and let $M^{(i)} = (b_{\ell j}^{(i)})$. Then

$$A_{\overline{C}} = [A_C | B], \quad A_{\overline{C}_i} = [A_{C_i} | B],$$

where $(v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n) = (e_1, \dots, e_n)B$, and

$$A_{C_i} = A_C M^{(i)}, \quad A_{\overline{C}_i} = A_{\overline{C}} \begin{bmatrix} M^{(i)} & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since the cone $\overline{C}$ has rank n , we have $\Phi_n(\overline{C}) = \sum_{i=1}^r \Phi_n(\overline{C}_i)$. That is,

$$\frac{|\det(A_{\overline{C}})|}{L_{v_1} \cdots L_{v_k} L_{v_{k+1}} \cdots L_{v_n}} = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{|\det(A_{\overline{C}_i})|}{L_{w_1^{(i)}} \cdots L_{w_k^{(i)}} L_{v_{k+1}} \cdots L_{v_n}}.$$

Since $\det(A_{\overline{C}_i}) = \det(A_{\overline{C}}) \det(M^{(i)})$ and $w(C_i) = w(C) |\det(M^{(i)})|$, we reach the conclusion

$$\frac{w(C)}{L_{v_1} \cdots L_{v_k}} = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{w(C_i)}{L_{w_1^{(i)}} \cdots L_{w_k^{(i)}}}.$$

□

Now let C be a closed cone in K^n and let $C = \{C_1, \dots, C_r\}$ be a subdivision of C into closed simplicial cones $C_1, \dots, C_r$ in K^n . Define

$$(15) \quad \Phi_n(C) := \sum_{i=1}^r \Phi_n(C_i).$$

The value $\Phi_n(C)$ is well-defined because of the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *For a closed cone C in K^n , the value $\Phi_n(C)$ does not depend on the choice of the subdivision $C = \{C_1, \dots, C_r\}$ of C into closed simplicial cones in K^n .*

Proof. Suppose $C = \{C'_1, \dots, C'_{r'}\}$ is another subdivision of C into closed simplicial cones in K^n . Let $C = \{C''_1, \dots, C''_{r''}\}$ be a common refinement of the two subdivisions, which exists by Lemma 2.3.(a). Then by Lemma 3.2.(b), we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \Phi_n(C_i) = \sum_{k=1}^{r''} \Phi_n(C''_k) = \sum_{j=1}^{r'} \Phi_n(C'_j),$$

as needed. $\square$

Thus we can extend the map Φ_n defined in Eq. (14) on the set of closed simplicial cones to a linear map

$$(16) \quad \Phi_n : K\mathcal{C}_n^c(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(K), \quad \Phi_n(C) = \sum_{i=1}^r \Phi_n(C_i),$$

where $\{C_i\}$ is taken to be any simplicial subdivision of C . The linear maps Φ_n on $K\mathcal{C}_n^c(K)$, $n \geq 1$, are compatible with the direct system $\{K\mathcal{C}_n^c(K)\}_{n \geq 1}$ and can therefore be put together to build a linear map

$$(17) \quad \Phi : K\mathcal{C}^c(K) := K(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}_n^c(K)) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(K).$$

Let W_c denote the subspace of $K\mathcal{C}^c(K)$ generated by the following two types of elements:

- (a) closed cones containing a linear K -subspace, and
- (b) linear combinations $C - \sum_{i=1}^r C_i$ where $\{C_i\}$ is a subdivision in $\mathcal{C}^c(K)$ of a closed cone $C \in \mathcal{C}^c(K)$.

Proposition 3.4. (a) *If a closed cone C in K^n contains a line ℓ , then there exist a subspace L of C and a strongly convex closed cone C' in $\text{lin}^\perp(L; \text{lin}(C))$ that give the direct sum $C = L + C'$. Here $\text{lin}^\perp(L; \text{lin}(C))$ is the orthogonal complement of L in $\text{lin}(C)$, both taken as K -vector spaces.*

(b) *We have $W_c \subseteq \ker \Phi$.*

Proof. (a) First assume that the line ℓ is contained in a proper face of C . Let L be the maximal subspace $L \subset C$ that contains ℓ . Let C' be the projection of C in $\text{lin}^\perp(L; \text{lin}(C))$. Then $C = L + C'$. We know that C' is strongly convex because L is the maximal subspace in C .

Next assume that ℓ is not contained in any proper face of C . A generator $\vec{u}$ of ℓ gives rise to relative interior points $\vec{u}$ and $-\vec{u}$ of C . Thus the projections of $C \cap \{\vec{v} \mid (\vec{v}, \vec{u}) \geq 0\}$ and $C \cap \{\vec{v} \mid (\vec{v}, \vec{u}) \leq 0\}$ in $\text{lin}^\perp(\ell; \text{lin}(C))$ both coincide with $\text{lin}^\perp(\ell; \text{lin}(C))$. Notice that $\text{lin}^\perp(\ell; \text{lin}(C)) \subset C$. Indeed, for any vector $v \in \text{lin}^\perp(\ell; \text{lin}(C))$, there exist $v' \in C$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that $v' = au + v$. So $v = a(-u) + v' \in C$ by convexity. Therefore

$$C \supseteq (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\vec{u} + \text{lin}^\perp(\ell; \text{lin}(C))) \cup (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(-\vec{u}) + \text{lin}^\perp(\ell; \text{lin}(C)))$$

which implies that

$$C = \text{lin}(\vec{u}) + \text{lin}^\perp(\ell; \text{lin}(C))$$

is a linear subspace, proving the claim.

(b) Because of Lemma 3.2.(b), we only need to prove that $\Phi(C) = 0$ if C contains a line.

First consider the case when C itself is a one-dimensional subspace. Let $C = K_{\geq 0}u \cup K_{\geq 0}(-u)$. So

$$\Phi(C) = \frac{w(u)}{L_u} + \frac{w(-u)}{L_{-u}} = \frac{w(u)}{L_u} + \frac{w(u)}{-L_u} = 0.$$

Next consider the case when C is a non-zero linear space. Take any basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ of C . The family of cones $\{C_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_k} := \langle \varepsilon_1 v_1, \varepsilon_2 v_2, \dots, \varepsilon_k v_k \rangle^c \mid \varepsilon_i = \pm 1\}$ provides a simplicial subdivision of C and

$$w(\varepsilon_1 v_1, \varepsilon_2 v_2, \dots, \varepsilon_k v_k) = w(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(C) &= \sum_{\varepsilon_i = \pm 1, 1 \leq i \leq k} \Phi(C_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_k}) \\ &= \sum_{\varepsilon_i = \pm 1, 1 \leq i \leq k} \frac{w(\varepsilon_1 v_1, \dots, \varepsilon_k v_k)}{L_{\varepsilon_1 v_1} \dots L_{\varepsilon_k v_k}} \\ &= w(v_1, \dots, v_k) \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} \left(\frac{1}{L_{v_i}} + \frac{1}{L_{-v_i}} \right) \\ &= w(v_1, \dots, v_k) \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} \left(\frac{1}{L_{v_i}} - \frac{1}{L_{v_i}} \right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Finally consider the case when C is a cone that contains a line. By Proposition 3.4.(a) we have $C = L + C'$ where L is a linear subspace and C' is a strongly convex cone. Given a basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ of L , the set $\{C_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_k} := \langle \varepsilon_1 v_1, \varepsilon_2 v_2, \dots, \varepsilon_k v_k \rangle^c + C' \mid \varepsilon_i = \pm 1\}$ provides a subdivision of C . As in the case of a linear subspace, we have on the one hand

$$w(C_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_k}) = w(C_{1,1, \dots, 1}),$$

and on the other hand

$$\Phi(C_{-1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_k}) = -\Phi(C_{1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_k}).$$

Consequently

$$\Phi(C) = \sum_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_k} \Phi(C_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_k}) = \sum_{\varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_k} \Phi(C_{1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_k}) + \Phi(C_{-1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_k}) = 0.$$

□

3.2. Subdivisions of cones and simple fractions. We next show that all relations among simple fractions are determined by those coming from subdivisions of the corresponding cones. As a preparation, we give some properties of cones and fractions.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\{C_i\}$ be a set of closed cones in $K_{\geq 0}^n$ that span the same linear subspace of K^n and meet with each other only along faces. Then the set $\{\Phi(C_i)\}$ of fractions is linearly independent.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on the dimension of the closed cones C_i . If the dimension is 1, then the set $\{C_i\}$ can contain only one element $\langle v \rangle^c$ where v is a nonzero vector. Thus, $\Phi(C_i)$ is a nonzero multiple of $1/L$ where L is a nonzero linear form and the lemma is proved.

Assume that the lemma has been proved when the dimension of C_i is $k \geq 1$ and consider a set $\{C_i\}$ of closed cones with dimension $k + 1$ that satisfy the conditions in the lemma. Suppose

$\{\Phi(C_i)\}_i$ is a linearly dependent family. Taking a subset of $\{\Phi(C_i)\}_i$ if necessary, we can assume that there are nonzero $a_i \in K$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, such that

$$f := \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \Phi(C_i) = 0.$$

Since $\cup_{i=1}^r C_i$ is contained in $K_{\geq 0}^n$ and hence has a topological boundary, we can also assume that $C_1 = \langle v_1, \dots, v_k \rangle^c$ contains part of the boundary of $\cup_{i=1}^r C_i$. Then one of its facets, say the one spanned by $v_2, \dots, v_k$, is not contained in any other cone. We can therefore rewrite $f = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i \Phi(C_i)$ as

$$f = \frac{a_1}{L_1 \cdots L_k} + \sum_{i=2}^r \frac{a_i}{L_{i1} \cdots L_{ik}},$$

such that $L_2 \cdots L_k \nmid L_{i1} \cdots L_{ik}$, $2 \leq i \leq r$. Since all the C_i s span the same linear space, for each $2 \leq i \leq r$, we have $L_1 = \sum_{j=1}^k c_{ij} L_{ij}$ so that

$$\frac{L_1}{L_{i1} \cdots L_{ik}} = \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_{ij}}{L_{i1} \cdots \check{L}_{ij} \cdots L_{ik}},$$

where $\check{L}_{ij}$ means the term L_{ij} is deleted. Thus from $f = 0$ we deduce that

$$0 = L_1 f = \frac{a_1}{L_2 \cdots L_k} + \sum_{i=2}^r a_i \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{c_{ij}}{L_{i1} \cdots \check{L}_{ij} \cdots L_{ik}},$$

which is a linear combination of simple fractions of degree $k - 1$. Since $L_2 \cdots L_k$ does not divide any of the other forms, the coefficient of $1/(L_2 \cdots L_k)$ is a_1 which is not zero by assumption. Furthermore the cones corresponding to the fractions are faces of $\{C_i\}$ and hence meet each other along faces. Thus by the induction hypothesis, all the coefficients are zero, which is a contradiction. This proves Lemma 3.5. $\square$

Let $K(\vec{z}) = K(\{z_i\}_{i \geq 1})$ be the field of fractions in the variables $\{z_i \mid 1 \leq i < \infty\}$ with coefficients in K . An element $f \in K(\{z_i\}_{i \geq 1})$ is called **homogenous of degree k** if $f(\{tz_i\}) = t^{-k} f(\{z_i\})$ for a nonzero scalar t .

Lemma 3.6. *Let $f(\vec{z}) \in K(\vec{z})$ be of the form $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k(\vec{z})$, where f_k is homogeneous of degree k . If $f = 0$, then $f_k = 0$, $k \geq 1$.*

Proof. For any given value $\vec{z}_0 := (z_{0,1}, \dots, z_{0,n}, \dots)$ of $\vec{z}$, consider the substitutions $z_i = z_{0,i}t$, where t is a nonzero scalar. Then we obtain $f(\vec{z}) = \sum_k f_k(\vec{z}_0)t^{-k}$ so that every coefficient $f_k(\vec{z}_0)$ has to be 0. Thus $f_k(\vec{z}) = 0$. $\square$

Lemma 3.7. (a) *Let $k \geq 1$ be given. Let $g, h \in K(\vec{z})$ be linear combinations of simple fractions of homogeneous degree k such that the linear factors in g are in the linear span of $z_1, \dots, z_k$ only, while at least one linear factor in each simple fraction in h is not in the linear span of $z_1, \dots, z_k$. If $g = h$, then $g = h = 0$.*

(b) *Let f be in $\mathcal{S}(K)$ and let $\frac{1}{L_1 \cdots L_k}$ be a simple fraction in f . Let G be the summand of f consisting of simple fractions whose linear factors are in the linear span of $L_1, \dots, L_k$. If $f = 0$, then $G = 0$.*

Proof. (a) Let

$$h = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{a_i}{L_{i1} \cdots L_{ik}}.$$

Then for each $1 \leq i \leq r$, at least one L_{ij} is not in the linear span of $z_1, \dots, z_k$. For an positive integer n we set $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$. Let $J \subseteq [r] \times [k]$ be the set of indices $(i, j) \in [r] \times [k]$ such that L_{ij} do not lie in the linear span of $z_1, \dots, z_k$. For $(i, j) \in J$, we write

$$L_{ij} = L'_{ij} + L''_{ij},$$

where L'_{ij} lies in the linear span of $z_1, \dots, z_k$ and L''_{ij} is a nonzero form in the linear span of $z_{k+1}, \dots, z_n$. Here n is the largest index such that z_n appears in L_{ij} . Thus the product $\prod_{(i,j) \in J} L''_{ij}$ is a nonzero polynomial so that there is an evaluation $z_i = c_i, c_i \in K, k+1 \leq i \leq n$, such that

$$\ell_{ij} := L''_{ij}(c_{k+1}, \dots, c_n) \neq 0, (i, j) \in J.$$

Take the substitution $z_i = x_i t, 1 \leq i \leq k$ and $z_i = c_i, k+1 \leq i \leq n$ in g and h , where x_i and t are variables. On one hand we have $g(x_1 t, \dots, x_k t) = t^{-k} g(x_1, \dots, x_k)$. So g has a pole at $t = 0$ of order k unless $g = 0$. On the other hand, for $(i, j) \in J$, since L''_{ij} is nonzero in K for this substitution, $1/L_{ij}(x_1 t, \dots, x_k t, c_{k+1}, \dots, c_n)$ does not have a pole at $t = 0$. The order of $t = 0$ as pole of $h(x_1 t, \dots, x_k t, c_{k+1}, \dots, c_n)$ is therefore at most $k - 1$. Hence we must have $g = 0$ and $h = 0$.

(b) Fix a simple fraction $\frac{1}{L_1 \cdots L_k}$ in f . Let $f = G + H$, where G is the linear combinations of simple fractions whose linear forms are linear combinations of $L_1, \dots, L_k$ only, and H is the linear combination of simple fractions with at least one linear form that is not any linear combination of $L_1, \dots, L_k$.

Expand $L_1, \dots, L_k$ to a basis $L_1, \dots, L_k, L_{k+1}, \dots, L_n$ of the linear subspace generated by the linear forms that appear in f . Expand $L_1, \dots, L_n$ further to a system $\{L_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ of linear forms that form a basis of the linear span of $\{z_i\}_{i \geq 1}$. Then the linear map $L_i \mapsto z_i, 1 \leq i < \infty$ induces an algebraic automorphism on $K(\mathbb{Z})$. Under this automorphism, the element G (resp. H) above is sent to a g (resp. an $-h$) in the first part of the lemma. Thus $f = 0$ means $g = h$. By the first part of the lemma, $g = h = 0$ and hence $G = H = 0$. $\square$

Definition 3.8. (a) Consider a fraction $\frac{a}{L_1 \cdots L_k}$, where $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $L_1 = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{1j} z_j, \dots, L_k = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{kj} z_j \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}]$. If $v_1 := (a_{11}, \dots, a_{1n}), \dots, v_k := (a_{k1}, \dots, a_{kn})$ is part of a basis of $\mathbb{Z}^n$, then the fraction is called a **smooth simple fraction**. The linear subspace of $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{Z})$ spanned by the smooth simple fractions is denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{\text{SM}}(\mathbb{Q})$.

(b) Let W_{SM} denote the subspace of $\mathbb{Q}\mathcal{M}^c(\mathbb{Q})$ generated by the following two types of elements:

- (a) smooth closed cones containing a linear $\mathbb{Q}$ -subspace, and
- (b) linear combinations $C = \sum_{i=1}^r C_i$, where $\{C_i\}$ is a smooth subdivision of a closed smooth cone C .

Lemma 3.9. We have $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathcal{S}_{\text{SM}}(\mathbb{Q})$, where as before $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Q})$ stands for the space of simple fractions with rational coefficients.

Proof. Let $1/(L_1 \cdots L_k)$ be a simple fraction in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Q})$ with $L_i = \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} z_j \in \mathbb{Q}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$. Let $v_i = \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} e_j$. By Proposition 2.2.(b), the rational cone $\langle v_1, \dots, v_k \rangle^c$ admits a subdivision $\{C_i\}$

consisting of smooth cones. By Proposition 3.4.(b), we have

$$\frac{1}{L_1 \cdots L_k} = \Phi \left(\frac{1}{w(v_1, \dots, v_k)} \langle v_1, \dots, v_k \rangle^c \right) = \sum_i \frac{1}{w(v_1, \dots, v_k)} \Phi(C_i)$$

with a multiple of $\Phi(C_i)$ smooth, as needed. $\square$

Thus the map $\Phi : \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{C}^c}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Q})$ in Eq. (15) restricts to a map

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{SM}} : \mathbb{QM}^{\mathbb{C}^c}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{SM}}(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Theorem 3.10. *Let $W_{\mathcal{C}}$ be defined as above Proposition 3.4. Then $\ker \Phi = W_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\ker \Phi_{\mathcal{SM}} = W_{\mathcal{SM}}$. Thus we have linear bijections*

$$(18) \quad \overline{\Phi} : K\overline{\mathbb{C}^c}(K) := K^{\mathbb{C}^c}(K)/W_{\mathcal{C}} \cong \mathcal{S}(K) \text{ and } \overline{\Phi}_{\mathcal{SM}} : \overline{\mathbb{QM}^{\mathbb{C}^c}}(\mathbb{Q}) := \mathbb{QM}^{\mathbb{C}^c}(\mathbb{Q})/W_{\mathcal{SM}} \cong \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{SM}}(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Proof. The surjectivity of the maps Φ and $\Phi_{\mathcal{SM}}$ follows from the definitions of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Q})$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{SM}}(\mathbb{Q})$ combined with Lemma 3.9. By Proposition 3.4.(b), we have $W_{\mathcal{C}} \subseteq \ker \Phi$ and $W_{\mathcal{SM}} \subseteq \ker \Phi_{\mathcal{SM}}$. So we only need to prove $\ker \Phi \subseteq W_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\ker \Phi_{\mathcal{SM}} \subseteq W_{\mathcal{SM}}$. We first prove the first inclusion.

Let $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i C_i$ be in $\ker \Phi$. First, we can assume that $\cup C_i$ has boundary. This is because if $\cup C_i$ is not the whole space, then it has a boundary. Otherwise, fix a point v_0 , for all cones $\{C'_j\} \subset \{C_i\}$ containing v_0 as an interior point, then modulo subdivision therefore modulo $W_{\mathcal{C}}$, we can assume that C'_j simplicial. If $C'_j = \langle v_1, \dots, v_k \rangle^c$, then modulo $W_{\mathcal{C}}$,

$$C'_j \sim \sum \langle \epsilon_1 v_1, \dots, \epsilon_k v_k \rangle^c,$$

where $\epsilon_i = \pm 1$ and the summation is taken over all possible ϵ_i except when all $\epsilon_i = 1$. Now, v_0 is not an interior point of any resulting cones, so the union of resulting cones has a boundary.

Then

$$0 = f(\vec{z}) := \Phi \left(\sum_{i=1}^r a_i C_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \Phi(C_i) \in \mathbb{R}(\vec{z})$$

as a linear combination of monic simple fractions $\Phi(C_i)$. We prove that $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i C_i$ lies in $W_{\mathcal{C}}$ by reducing this statement to Lemma 3.5 by means of the following reduction steps.

By Lemma 3.6, we may assume that $f(\vec{z})$ is homogeneous of degree $-k$.

By choosing a simple fraction $\frac{1}{L_1 \cdots L_k}$ in f whose linear forms span a minimal linear subspace and then applying Lemma 3.7.(it:comb), we may further assume that the linear forms of each simple fractions in f span the same linear subspace.

Let $L_1, \dots, L_k, L_{k+1}, \dots, L_m$ be all the linear forms in f . Let

$$\{C_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq \ell\}$$

be the set of cones corresponding to the simple fractions in f , that is,

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} a_j \Phi(C_j).$$

On the grounds of our assumptions on the simple fractions, we conclude that the C_j 's span the same linear subspace of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Choose the simplicial subdivision $\{C_{ij}\}$ of C_i , $1 \leq i \leq r$, as in Lemma 2.3.(a). Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^r a_i C_i - \sum_{i,j} a_i C_{ij}$$

lies in $W_{\mathcal{C}}$ and we can write

$$\sum_{i,j} a_i C_{ij} = \sum_{\ell} b_{\ell} D_{\ell},$$

where D_{ℓ} are distinct simplicial cones that meet only at faces. Since

$$0 = \Phi \left(\sum_{i=1}^r a_i C_i \right) = \Phi \left(\sum_{i,j} a_i C_{ij} \right) = \Phi \left(\sum_{\ell} b_{\ell} D_{\ell} \right) = \sum_{\ell} b_{\ell} \Phi(D_{\ell}),$$

by Lemma 3.5, all the coefficients b_{ℓ} are zero. Thus

$$\sum_{i,j} a_i C_{ij} = \sum_{\ell} b_{\ell} D_{\ell} = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^r a_i C_i = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i C_i - \sum_{i,j} a_i C_{ij}$$

lies in $W_{\mathcal{C}}$.

The proof of the second inclusion $\ker \Phi_{\mathcal{SM}} \subseteq W_{\mathcal{SM}}$ is the same as the proof of the first inclusion up to that Lemma 2.3.(a) is replaced by Lemma 2.3.(b). $\square$

4. DECORATED CONES AND PURE FRACTIONS

We next generalize the geometric interpretation of linear relations of simple fractions as subdivision of cones to the fractions with multiplicity for the linear forms. For this purpose, we need to generalize the notion of smooth cone to smooth decorated cones which involve multiplicity encoded in the decoration.

4.1. Decorated closed cones.

Definition 4.1. (a) Let $C = \langle v_1, \dots, v_k \rangle^c$ be a smooth cone in the first orthant with its (unique) primary generating set $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ and let $s_1, \dots, s_k$ be in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. We call the monomial $[v_1]^{s_1} \cdots [v_k]^{s_k}$ a **decorated smooth cone**, $s_1 + \dots + s_k$ the **weight** of the decorated cone and $\langle v_1, \dots, v_k \rangle^c$ the underlying **geometric cone** of the decorated cone which we can also denote by $[v_1] \cdots [v_k]$.

(b) The set of decorated smooth cones is denoted by $\mathcal{DMC}^c$, regarded as a subset of the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{Q}[[v] \in \mathbb{Q}^{\infty}]]$:

$$\mathcal{DMC}^c := \left\{ [v_1]^{s_1} \cdots [v_k]^{s_k} \in \mathbb{Q}[[v] \in \mathbb{Q}^{\infty}]] \mid \begin{array}{l} \{v_1, \dots, v_k\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n \text{ is part of a basis of } \mathbb{Z}^n \\ s_1, \dots, s_k \geq 1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

(c) For $i \geq 1$, define the **conical derivation** in direction e_i to be the linear operator

$$\delta_i : \mathbb{QDMC}^c \rightarrow \mathbb{QDMC}^c, \quad \delta_i([v_1]^{s_1} \cdots [v_k]^{s_k}) := \sum_j s_j (e_i^*, v_j) [v_1]^{s_1} \cdots [v_j]^{s_j+1} \cdots [v_k]^{s_k},$$

where $\{e_1^*, e_2^*, \dots\}$ is the dual basis to $\{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$, and (e_i^*, v_j) is the pairing between e_i^* and v_j . Here $\mathbb{QDMC}^c$ is the $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear span of the set $\mathcal{DMC}^c$ of smooth decorated closed cones.

Remark 4.2. (a) The notion $[v_1]^{s_1} \cdots [v_k]^{s_k}$ is well-defined since the primitive generating set is unique.

(b) Since we will only consider decorated smooth cones, we will often suppress smooth from the notations.

(c) The term conical derivation is justified because of the following fact.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $V := [v_1]^{s_1} \cdots [v_k]^{s_k}$ be a decorated smooth cone.*

(a) *The operator δ_i can be equivalently defined by*

(i) $\delta_i([v]) = (e_i^*, v)[v]^2$ for a smooth vector $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, and

(ii) (Weak Leibniz Rule) *If V is the product of decorated cones V_1 and V_2 , then $\delta_i(V) = \delta_i(V_1)V_2 + V_1\delta_i(V_2)$.*

(b) *Let $\{v_i^* = \sum_j c_{ij}e_j^*\}_i$ be a dual basis to $\{v_i\}_i$ in the sense that $(v_i, v_j^*) = \delta_{ij}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq k$. Define $\delta_{v_i^*} = \sum_j c_{ij}\delta_j$. We have*

$$(19) \quad [v_1]^{s_1} \cdots [v_k]^{s_k} = \frac{1}{(s_1 - 1)! \cdots (s_k - 1)!} \delta_{v_1^*}^{s_1-1} \cdots \delta_{v_k^*}^{s_k-1}([v_1] \cdots [v_k]).$$

Remark 4.4. The product of two decorated smooth cones is not necessarily a decorated smooth cones so that we call "weak Leibniz rule" the above product rule which only applies for decorated smooth cones that can be factored into a product of two decorated smooth cones.

Proof. (a) Since $\mathcal{DMC}^c$ is multiplicatively generated by smooth vectors, there is unique operator δ satisfying the two conditions. On the other hand, δ_i satisfies the first condition by definition. Further note that V_1 and V_2 must be of the form $V_1 = [v_1]^{a_1} \cdots [v_k]^{a_k}$ and $V_2 = [v_1]^{b_1} \cdots [v_k]^{b_k}$ with $a_i + b_i = s_i$. Then δ_i also satisfies the second condition. Thus δ and δ_i must be the same.

(b) This will be proved by induction on $m := |s| - k$, $s_i \geq 1$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, $k \geq 1$, with the case $m = 0$ being trivial. Assume that Eq. (19) has been proved for all fractions with $m = n \geq 1$ and consider a decorated cone $[v_1]^{s_1} \cdots [v_k]^{s_k}$ with $m = n + 1$.

Let s_r be the first s_i , $1 \leq i \leq m$ with $s_i > 1$. Then for $t_r = s_r - 1$ and $t_i = s_i$, $i \neq r$, we have $|t| - k = n$, so that the induction assumption yields

$$(20) \quad [v_1]^{t_1} \cdots [v_k]^{t_k} = \frac{1}{(t_1 - 1)! \cdots (t_k - 1)!} \delta_{v_1^*}^{t_1-1} \cdots \delta_{v_k^*}^{t_k-1}([v_1] \cdots [v_k]).$$

Let v_i and v_j^* , $1 \leq i, j \leq k$, be defined as in the proposition. Then for the column vectors $\vec{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_n)^T$, $\vec{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_k)^T$ and $\vec{v}^* = (v_1^*, \dots, v_k^*)^T$, we have

$$\vec{v} = A\vec{e}, \quad \vec{v}^* = C\vec{e}$$

for $A = (a_{ij}), C = (c_{ij}) \in M_{k \times n}(\mathbb{R})$. By duality, $AC^T = I_k$, that is, $\sum_{\ell=1}^n a_{i\ell}c_{j\ell} = \delta_{ij}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq k$, from which it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{v_r^*}([v_1]^{t_1} \cdots [v_k]^{t_k}) &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_{rj} \delta_j \right) ([v_1]^{t_1} \cdots [v_k]^{t_k}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n c_{rj} \sum_{i=1}^n t_i a_{i,j} [v_1]^{t_1} \cdots [v_i]^{t_i+1} \cdots [v_k]^{t_k} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j} c_{rj} \right) [v_1]^{t_1} \cdots [v_i]^{t_i+1} \cdots [v_k]^{t_k} \end{aligned}$$

$$= t_r[v_1]^{t_1} \cdots [v_r]^{t_{r+1}} \cdots [v_k]^{t_k}.$$

Combining this with the induction hypothesis in Eq. (20), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [v_1]^{s_1} \cdots [v_k]^{s_k} &= [v_1]^{t_1} \cdots [v_r]^{t_{r+1}} \cdots [v_k]^{t_k} \\ &= \frac{1}{(t_1 - 1)! \cdots t_r! \cdots (t_k - 1)!} \delta_{v_1^*}^{t_1-1} \cdots \delta_{v_r^*}^{t_r} \cdots \delta_{v_k^*}^{t_k-1} ([v_1] \cdots [v_k]) \\ &= \frac{1}{(s_1 - 1)! \cdots (s_k - 1)!} \delta_{v_1^*}^{s_1-1} \cdots \delta_{v_k^*}^{s_k-1} ([v_1] \cdots [v_k]). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the induction. $\square$

We generalize subdivisions of geometric cones to algebraic subdivisions of decorated cones.

Definition 4.5. (a) An **algebraic subdivision** of a smooth cone $[v_1] \cdots [v_k]$ is an element

$$\sum_i [v_{i1}] \cdots [v_{ik}] \in \mathbb{QDMC}^c,$$

where $\{[v_{i1}] \cdots [v_{ik}]\}_i$ is a smooth subdivision of the cone $\langle v_1, \dots, v_k \rangle^c$ in $\mathcal{MC}^c$.

(b) An **algebraic subdivision** of a decorated smooth cone $[v_1]^{s_1} \cdots [v_k]^{s_k}$ is an element

$$\sum_i \frac{1}{(s_1 - 1)! \cdots (s_k - 1)!} \delta_{v_1^*}^{s_1-1} \cdots \delta_{v_k^*}^{s_k-1} ([v_{i1}] \cdots [v_{ik}]) \in \mathbb{QDMC}^c,$$

where $\{[v_{i1}] \cdots [v_{ik}]\}_i$ is a closed smooth subdivision of the cone $[v_1] \cdots [v_k]$. We will use the notation

$$[v_1]^{s_1} \cdots [v_k]^{s_k} < \sum_i \frac{1}{(s_1 - 1)! \cdots (s_k - 1)!} \delta_{v_1^*}^{s_1-1} \cdots \delta_{v_k^*}^{s_k-1} ([v_{i1}] \cdots [v_{ik}])$$

to denote such an algebraic subdivision.

Example 4.6. From the algebraic subdivision

$$[e_1][e_2] < [e_1][e_1 + e_2] + [e_2][e_1 + e_2],$$

we obtain the algebraic subdivision

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1]^2[e_2] &= \delta_{e_1^*}([e_1][e_2]) \\ &< \delta_{e_1^*}([e_1][e_1 + e_2] + [e_2][e_1 + e_2]) = [e_1]^2[e_1 + e_2] + [e_1][e_1 + e_2]^2 + [e_2][e_1 + e_2]^2. \end{aligned}$$

4.2. Subdivision of decorated cones and pure fractions.

Definition 4.7. (a) For a smooth simple fraction $\frac{1}{L_1 \cdots L_k}$ and $s_1, \dots, s_k \geq 1$, the fraction $\frac{1}{L_1^{s_1} \cdots L_k^{s_k}}$ is called a **smooth pure fraction**. The integer $\sum_{i=1}^k s_i$ is called the **degree** of the fraction. The linear subspace of $\mathbb{Q}(\{z_n\}_{n \geq 1})$ spanned by smooth pure fractions is denoted by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{SM}}(\mathbb{Q})$.

(b) Denote

$$\partial_i = -\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} : \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{SM}}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{SM}}(\mathbb{Q}), \quad i \geq 1.$$

(c) Define

$$(21) \quad \Phi_{\mathcal{DM}} := \mathbb{QDMC}^c \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{SM}}(\mathbb{Q}), [v_1]^{s_1} \cdots [v_k]^{s_k} \mapsto \frac{w(v_1, \dots, v_k)}{L_1^{s_1} \cdots L_k^{s_k}},$$

where $w(v_1, \dots, v_k)$ is defined in Eq. (14).

The following proposition follows from straightforward computations using Proposition 4.3.

Proposition 4.8. (a) For $i \in I$, we have $\Phi_{\mathcal{DM}} \circ \delta_i = \partial_i \circ \Phi_{\mathcal{DM}}$.

(b) Let a smooth pure fraction $\frac{1}{L_1^{s_1} \cdots L_k^{s_k}}$ be given. Let $\{L_i^* = \sum_j c_{ij} z_j^*\}_i$ be dual to $\{L_i\}_i$ in the sense that $(L_i, L_j^*) = \delta_{ij}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq k$. Define $\partial_{L_i^*} = \sum_j c_{ij} \partial_j$. Then we have

$$(22) \quad \frac{1}{L_1^{s_1} \cdots L_k^{s_k}} = \frac{1}{(s_1 - 1)! \cdots (s_k - 1)!} \partial_{L_1^*}^{s_1-1} \cdots \partial_{L_k^*}^{s_k-1} \frac{1}{L_1 \cdots L_k}.$$

Lemma 4.9. Let $\{C_i\}_i$ be a set of decorated closed smooth cones in $\mathbb{Q}^n$ such that the linear forms in every cone span the same linear subspace of $\mathbb{Q}^n$ and the underlying geometric cones meet only along faces. Then the set $\{\Phi_{\mathcal{DM}}(C_i)\}_i$ of fractions is linearly independent.

Proof. We just need to prove that a contradiction follows from any relation

$$(23) \quad \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \Phi_{\mathcal{DM}}(C_i) = 0$$

with $0 \neq a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $C_i = [v_{i1}]^{s_{i1}} \cdots [v_{ik}]^{s_{ik}}$ closed smooth cones with the conditions in the lemma. By Lemma 3.6, we can assume that for each $1 \leq i \leq r$, the weight $|s_i| = s_{i1} + \cdots + s_{ik}$ is the same. We next proceed by induction on $s := |s_i|$. So $s \geq k$.

If $s = k$, then all the edges of the cones have decoration 1. Then by Lemma 3.5 we must have $a_i = 0$ for any index i , leading to the expected contradiction. Assume that a contradiction arises for any relation in Eq. (23) with $s = n \geq k$ and consider such a relation with $s = n + 1$. In this case, at least one edge, say $[v_1]$ in some decorated cone, has decoration greater than one.

Let r_1 be the maximal decoration of $[v_1]$ in all the cones. We split the family of cones into three disjoint sets. Let $C_1, \dots, C_m$ be all the cones with edge $[v_1]$ decorated by r_1 . Let $C_{m+1}, \dots, C_{m+\ell}$ be all the cones, if any, with $[v_1]$ decorated by a positive power less than r_1 . Let $C_{m+\ell+1}, \dots, C_r$ be all the cones, if any, that do not contain $[v_1]$ in their spanning set. Then

$$L_{v_1} \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \Phi_{\mathcal{DM}}(C_i) = L_{v_1} \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \Phi_{\mathcal{DM}}(C_i) + L_{v_1} \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+\ell} a_i \Phi_{\mathcal{DM}}(C_i) + L_{v_1} \sum_{i=m+\ell+1}^r a_i \Phi_{\mathcal{DM}}(C_i).$$

For any $m+1 \leq i \leq m+\ell$, the power of $1/L_{v_1}$ in $L_{v_1} \Phi_{\mathcal{DM}}(C_i)$ is less than $r_1 - 1$. For $m+\ell+1 \leq i \leq r$, $1/L_{v_1}$ does not appear as a linear form of $\Phi_{\mathcal{DM}}(C_i)$. Using the assumption of the proposition, we write L_{v_1} as a linear combination of the generators $L_{v_1^i}, \dots, L_{v_k^i}$ of C_i :

$$L_{v_1} = a_{i1} L_{v_{i1}} + \cdots + a_{ik} L_{v_{ik}}.$$

Thus $L_{v_1} \Phi_{\mathcal{DM}}(C_i) = \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{a_{ij}}{L_{v_{i1}}^{s_{i1}} \cdots L_{v_{ij}}^{s_{ij}-1} \cdots L_{v_{ik}}^{s_{ik}}} \Phi_{\mathcal{DM}}(C_i)$ is a linear combination of fractions that do not contain L_{v_1} as

a linear form. In summary, each monomial in $\sum_{i=m+1}^r a_i L_{v_1} \Phi_{\mathcal{DM}}(C_i)$ has its power of $1/L_{v_1}$ less than

$r_1 - 1$ so that no such monomial can cancel with any monomial in $L_{v_1} \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \Phi_{\mathcal{DM}}(C_i)$. Then from

$$\sum_{i=1}^r a_i \Phi_{\mathcal{DM}}(C_i) = 0 \text{ and thus } L_{v_1} \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \Phi_{\mathcal{DM}}(C_i) = 0.$$

In the equality

$$L_{v_1} \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \Phi_{\mathcal{DM}}(C_i) = 0,$$

the monomials from decorated cones $C_1, \dots, C_m$ have non-zero coefficients $a_1, \dots, a_m$, and the weight of each terms in the sum is n , by the induction hypothesis we must have $a_i = 0, i = 1, \dots, m$, which yields the expected contradiction. $\square$

Let $W_{\mathcal{DM}}$ be the subspace of $\mathbb{QDMC}^c$ spanned by algebraic subdivision of decorated cones in $\mathcal{DMC}^c$. More precisely,

$$W_{\mathcal{DM}} := \mathbb{Q} \left\{ U - \sum_i U_i \mid U \in \mathcal{DMC}^c \text{ and } \sum_i U_i \text{ is a smooth subdivision of } U \right\}.$$

Theorem 4.10. *The kernel of the linear map $\Phi_{\mathcal{DM}} : \mathbb{QDMC}^c \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{SM}}(\mathbb{Q})$ is $W_{\mathcal{DM}}$. Thus $\Phi_{\mathcal{DM}} : \mathbb{QDMC}^c \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{SM}}(\mathbb{Q})$ induces a bijective linear map*

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{DM}} : \overline{\mathbb{QDMC}^c} := \mathbb{QDMC}^c / W_{\mathcal{DM}} \cong \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{SM}}(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Proof. Any simple fraction in $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{SM}}(\mathbb{Q})$ is an iterated derivation of a pure fraction in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Q})$ by Proposition 4.8.(b) and, by Proposition 4.8.(a), derivations on $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{SM}}(\mathbb{Q})$ are compatible with the derivations on $\mathbb{QDMC}^c(\mathbb{Q})$ under $\Phi_{\mathcal{DM}}$. Then the surjectivity of $\Phi_{\mathcal{DM}}$ follows from the surjectivity of $\Phi_{\mathcal{SM}}$ in Theorem 3.10. Let $\sum_i a_i U_i$ be a smooth subdivision of a decorated closed smooth cone U . Then by definition, $U - \sum_i a_i U_i$ is an iterated derivation of a $C - \sum_i C_i$ where $\{C_i\}$ is a smooth subdivision of C . Thus by Proposition 4.8 (a), $\Phi(U - \sum_i a_i U_i) = \Phi(U) - \sum_i a_i \Phi(U_i)$ is an iterated derivation of $\Phi(C) - \sum_i \Phi(C_i)$. By Proposition 3.4(b), $\Phi(C) - \sum_i \Phi(C_i) = \Phi(C - \sum_i C_i)$ is zero. Hence its iterated derivations are also zero. Thus $W_{\mathcal{DM}} \subseteq \ker \Phi_{\mathcal{DM}}$.

On the other hand, let F be a linear combination of decorated closed cones in $\mathcal{DMC}^c$ such that the corresponding linear combination $f := \Phi(F) \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{SM}}(\mathbb{Q})$ of fractions is zero. As in the proof of Theorem 3.10, by applying Lemma 3.7, we can assume that f is homogeneous of degree k :

$$(24) \quad f = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{a_i}{L_{i1}^{s_{i1}} \cdots L_{i\ell}^{s_{i\ell}}},$$

where $s_{i1} + \cdots + s_{i\ell} = k$, and the linear forms span the same linear spaces. In other words, $f = \Phi(F)$ where

$$F = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{a_i}{w(v_{i1}, \dots, v_{i\ell})} [v_{i1}]^{s_{i1}} \cdots [v_{i\ell}]^{s_{i\ell}},$$

where $\{v_{ij}\}_j, 1 \leq i \leq r$ span the same linear space in $\mathbb{Q}^n$.

Choosing smooth subdivisions of the smooth cones $[v_{i1}] \cdots [v_{i\ell}]$ as in Lemma 2.3 and then taking suitable derivations as in Proposition 4.3.(b), we obtain a smooth subdivision $\sum_j b_{ij} C_{ij}$ of $[v_{i1}]^{s_{i1}} \cdots [v_{i\ell}]^{s_{i\ell}}$ whose underlying geometric cones meet along faces. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \frac{a_i}{w(v_{i1}, \dots, v_{i\ell})} [v_{i1}]^{s_{i1}} \cdots [v_{i\ell}]^{s_{i\ell}} - \sum_{i,j} \frac{a_i b_{ij}}{w(v_{i1}, \dots, v_{i\ell})} C_{ij}$$

lies in $W_{\mathcal{DM}}$ and we can write

$$\sum_{i,j} \frac{a_i b_{ij}}{w(v_{i1}, \dots, v_{i\ell})} C_{ij} = \sum_m b_\ell D_m,$$

where D_m are distinct decorated smooth cones whose underlying geometric cones meet only at faces. Since $W_{\mathcal{DM}}$ is in $\ker \Phi_{\mathcal{DM}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \Phi_{\mathcal{DM}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \frac{a_i}{w(v_{i1}, \dots, v_{i\ell})} [v_{i1}]^{s_{i1}} \dots [v_{i\ell}]^{s_{i\ell}} \right) \\
&= \Phi \left(\sum_{ij} \frac{a_i b_{ij}}{w(v_{i1}, \dots, v_{i\ell})} C_{ij} \right) \\
&= \Phi \left(\sum_m b_m D_m \right) \\
&= \sum_{\ell} b_m \Phi(D_m).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.5, all the coefficients b_m are zero. Thus

$$\sum_{i,j} \frac{a_i b_{ij}}{w(v_{i1}, \dots, v_{i\ell})} C_{ij} = \sum_m b_m D_m = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^r \frac{a_i}{w(v_{i1}, \dots, v_{i\ell})} [v_{i1}]^{s_{i1}} \dots [v_{i\ell}]^{s_{i\ell}} &= \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{a_i}{w(v_{i1}, \dots, v_{i\ell})} [v_{i1}]^{s_{i1}} \dots [v_{i\ell}]^{s_{i\ell}} - \sum_{i,j} \frac{a_i b_{ij}}{w(v_{i1}, \dots, v_{i\ell})} C_{ij} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{a_i}{w(v_{i1}, \dots, v_{i\ell})} \left([v_{i1}]^{s_{i1}} \dots [v_{i\ell}]^{s_{i\ell}} - \sum_j b_{ij} C_{ij} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

lies in $W_{\mathcal{SM}}$ and hence $W_{\mathcal{DM}} \supseteq \ker \Phi_{\mathcal{DM}}$. $\square$

5. CONICAL ZETA VALUES REVISITED: DOUBLE SUBDIVISION RELATIONS AND SHINTANI ZETA VALUES

The purpose of this section is to revisit conical zeta values using decorated closed cones. We provide a closed cone encoding of CZVs as a generalization of the shuffle encoding of MZVs. We then apply via $\Phi_{\mathcal{SM}}$ the relation of decorated cones with fractions to give the closed subdivision relation of CZVs and further the double subdivision relation of CZVs by combining with the open subdivision relation in Section 2. We also consider the relationship between CZVs and Shintani zeta values.

5.1. Closed subdivision relation and shuffle relation.

5.1.1. *Closed cone encoding of CZVs.* We now give another encoding of CZVs by decorated closed cones.

Definition 5.1. Let $[v_1]^{s_1} \dots [v_k]^{s_k}$ be a decorated smooth closed cone.

(a) Define the **linearly constrained zeta value (LZV)**

$$\zeta^c([v_1]^{s_1} \dots [v_k]^{s_k}) := \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{m_r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(a_{11}m_1 + \dots + a_{1r}m_r)^{s_1} \dots (a_{k1}m_1 + \dots + a_{kr}m_r)^{s_k}}$$

if the sum is convergent, where $v_i = \sum_{j=1}^r a_{ij}e_j$, $1 \leq i \leq k$.

- (b) Let $\mathbb{QDMC}_0^c$ denote the linear subspace of $\mathbb{QDMC}^c$ spanned by the set $\mathcal{DMC}_0^c$ of decorated smooth cones $[v_1]^{s_1} \cdots [v_k]^{s_k}$ such that $\zeta^c([v_1]^{s_1} \cdots [v_k]^{s_k})$ is convergent.
- (c) Define

$$\zeta^c : \mathbb{QDMC}_0^c \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad [v_1]^{s_1} \cdots [v_k]^{s_k} \mapsto \zeta^c([v_1]^{s_1} \cdots [v_k]^{s_k}).$$

We refer the reader to Section 5.3 for the relationship among CZVs, LZVs and Shintani zeta values. The following result is immediate from Theorem 4.10.

Lemma 5.2. *The linear map $\zeta^c : \mathbb{QDMC}_0^c \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is zero on $W_{\mathcal{DM}} \cap \mathbb{QDMC}_0^c$. In particular, let*

$$[v_1] \cdots [v_k] < \sum_i [v_{i1}] \cdots [v_{ik}]$$

be a smooth closed subdivision and let

$$D := [v_1]^{s_1} \cdots [v_k]^{s_k} < \sum_i \frac{1}{(s_1 - 1)! \cdots (s_k - 1)!} \delta_{v_1^*}^{s_1-1} \cdots \delta_{v_k^*}^{s_k-1}([v_{i1}] \cdots [v_{ik}]) = \sum a_i D_i$$

be the corresponding algebraic subdivision of the decorated smooth cone D . Then we have

$$\zeta^c(D) = \sum_i a_i \zeta^c(D_i).$$

Such a relation is called a **closed subdivision relation**.

Proof. Note that the coefficients a_i are all positive from the definition of the decorated division. Hence all the terms in the sum of fractions $\Phi_{\mathcal{SM}}(D) = \sum_i a_i \Phi_{\mathcal{SM}}(D_i)$ are positive. Thus from the convergence of $\zeta^c(D) := \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_r \geq 1} \Phi_{\mathcal{SM}}(D)$, we obtain the convergence of $\zeta^c(D_i)$ and the equation in the lemma. $\square$

5.1.2. *Shuffle relations as closed subdivision relations.* Now consider the space $\mathbb{QDC}\mathcal{H}^c$ spanned by the set $\mathcal{DC}\mathcal{H}^c$ of decorated closed Chen cones. Two Chen cones $x_{\sigma(1)} \leq \cdots \leq x_{\sigma(k)}$ and $x_{\tau(1)} \leq \cdots \leq x_{\tau(l)}$ defined by two permutations σ and τ as in Section 2.3 can only meet along faces where some of the coordinates $x_{\sigma(i)}$ and $x_{\tau(j)}$ coincide. Thus by Lemma 4.9, the set of Chen cones is linearly independent in $\mathbb{QDMC}^c$. Thus by Theorem 4.10, the linear isomorphism $\overline{\Phi}_{\mathcal{DMC}}^c$ obtained there restricts to a bijection

$$\overline{\Phi}_{ch} : \mathbb{QDC}\mathcal{H}^c \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{ch},$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_{ch} := \mathbb{Q} \left\{ \mathfrak{f} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} s_1, \dots, s_k \\ z_1, \dots, z_k \end{smallmatrix} \right] := \frac{1}{(z_1 + \cdots + z_k)^{s_1} \cdots z_k^{s_k}} \mid s_i \geq 1, 1 \leq i \leq k, k \geq 1 \right\}$$

is the space of multiple zeta fractions [11]. We refer the reader to the introduction for some of the notations used hereafter.

On the other hand, recall [15, 18] the vector space

$$\mathcal{H}_1^{\text{III}} := \mathbb{Q} 1 \oplus \mathbb{Q} \left\{ x_0^{s_1-1} x_1 \cdots x_0^{s_k-1} x_1 \in \mathbb{Q} \langle x_0, x_1 \rangle \mid s_i \geq 1, k \geq 1 \right\},$$

equipped with the shuffle product III . See also [11] where it is denoted by $\mathcal{H}_1^{\text{III}}(x_0, x_1)$ and [13] where it is denoted by $\mathcal{H}_{\geq 1}^0$ and is shown to be the free nonunitary Rota-Baxter algebra of weight zero on one generator. Then the linear map

$$\mathfrak{f} : \mathcal{H}_1^{\text{III}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{ch}(\mathbb{Q}), \quad x_0^{s_1-1} x_1 \cdots x_0^{s_k-1} x_1 \mapsto \mathfrak{f} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} s_1, \dots, s_k \\ z_1, \dots, z_k \end{smallmatrix} \right]$$

in [11, Theorem 2.1] together with the obvious bijection

$$\theta : \mathcal{H}_1^{\text{III}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{DC}\mathcal{H}^c, x_0^{s_1-1}x_1 \cdots x_0^{s_k-1}x_1 \mapsto [e_1 + \cdots + e_k]^{s_1} \cdots [e_k]^{s_k}$$

gives the commutative diagram

$$(25) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}^{\text{III}} & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{DC}\mathcal{H}^c \\ & \searrow \mathfrak{f} & \swarrow \overline{\Phi}_{ch} \\ & \mathcal{F}_{ch} & \end{array}$$

of linear maps. Thus $\mathfrak{f}$ is a bijection since θ and $\overline{\Phi}_{ch}$ are.

The shuffle product of the two multiple zeta fractions is induced by $\mathfrak{f}$ [11]. More precisely, if the shuffle product in $\mathcal{H}_1^{\text{III}}$ is given by

$$x_0^{s_1-1}x_1 \cdots x_0^{s_k-1}x_1 \text{ III } x_0^{s_{k+1}-1}x_1 \cdots x_0^{s_{k+\ell}-1}x_1 = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{H}_1^{\text{III}}} \alpha_{x_0^{s_1-1}x_1 \cdots x_0^{s_k-1}x_1, x_0^{s_{k+1}-1}x_1 \cdots x_0^{s_{k+\ell}-1}x_1}^w w,$$

then

$$\mathfrak{f} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} s_1, \dots, s_k \\ z_1, \dots, z_k \end{smallmatrix} \right] \text{ III } \mathfrak{f} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} s_{k+1}, \dots, s_{k+\ell} \\ z_1, \dots, z_{k+\ell} \end{smallmatrix} \right] := \sum_{w \in \mathcal{H}_1^{\text{III}}} \alpha_{x_0^{s_1-1}x_1 \cdots x_0^{s_k-1}x_1, x_0^{s_{k+1}-1}x_1 \cdots x_0^{s_{k+\ell}-1}x_1}^w \mathfrak{f}(w).$$

The shuffle product of two MZVs $\zeta(s_1, \dots, s_k)$ and $\zeta(s_{k+1}, \dots, s_{k+\ell})$ is determined by the shuffle product of their corresponding multiple zeta fractions

$$\mathfrak{f} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} s_1, \dots, s_k \\ z_1, \dots, z_k \end{smallmatrix} \right] := \frac{1}{(z_1 + \cdots + z_k)^{s_1} \cdots z_k^{s_k}} \text{ and } \mathfrak{f} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} s_{k+1}, \dots, s_{k+\ell} \\ z_1, \dots, z_{k+\ell} \end{smallmatrix} \right] := \frac{1}{(z_{k+1} + \cdots + z_{k+\ell})^{s_{k+1}} \cdots z_{k+\ell}^{s_{k+\ell}}}.$$

On the other hand, the decorated cone

$$[e_1 + \cdots + e_k]^{s_1} \cdots [e_k]^{s_k} [e_{k+1} + \cdots + e_{k+\ell}]^{s_{k+1}} \cdots [e_{k+\ell}]^{s_{k+\ell}}$$

is uniquely written as a linear combination of Chen cones

$$\sum_{C \in \mathcal{DC}\mathcal{H}^c} \alpha_{[e_1 + \cdots + e_k]^{s_1} \cdots [e_k]^{s_k}, [e_{k+1} + \cdots + e_{k+\ell}]^{s_{k+1}} \cdots [e_{k+\ell}]^{s_{k+\ell}}}^C C.$$

Indeed the inclusion/exclusion principle partitions the domain

$$P_{k,\ell} := \{x_1 \geq \cdots \geq x_k \geq 0\} \times \{x_{k+1} \geq \cdots \geq x_{k+\ell} \geq 0\}$$

as follows

$$P_{k,\ell} = \prod_{\sigma \in S_{k,\ell}} P_\sigma,$$

where $S_{k,\ell}$ is the set of (k, ℓ) -shuffles and the domain P_σ is defined by:

$$P_\sigma = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_{k+\ell}) \mid x_{\sigma(m)} \geq x_{\sigma(p)} \text{ if } m \geq p \text{ and } \sigma(m) \neq \sigma(p) \right\}.$$

So we have

$$[e_1 + \cdots + e_k] \cdots [e_k] [e_{k+1} + \cdots + e_{k+\ell}] \cdots [e_{k+\ell}] \equiv \sum_{\sigma \in S_{k,\ell}} [e_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + e_{\sigma(k+\ell)}] \cdots [e_{\sigma(k+\ell)}] \pmod{W_{\mathcal{SM}}}.$$

The very definition of subdivisions of decorated cones then yields

$$[e_1 + \cdots + e_k]^{s_1} \cdots [e_k]^{s_k} [e_{k+1} + \cdots + e_{k+\ell}]^{s_{k+1}} \cdots [e_{k+\ell}]^{s_{k+\ell}}$$

$$\equiv \sum_{\sigma \in S_{k,\ell}} \frac{1}{(s_1 - 1)! \cdots (s_{k+\ell} - 1)!} D_{(e_1 + \cdots + e_k)^*}^{s_1 - 1} \cdots D_{e_{k+\ell}}^{s_{k+\ell} - 1} [e_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + e_{\sigma(k+\ell)}] \cdots [e_{\sigma(k+\ell)}] \pmod{W_{\mathcal{DM}}}.$$

By means of the map $\overline{\Phi}_{Ch}$, this gives rise to another way of writing $\mathfrak{f}[\begin{smallmatrix} s_1, \dots, s_k \\ z_1, \dots, z_k \end{smallmatrix}] \sqcup \mathfrak{f}[\begin{smallmatrix} s_{k+1}, \dots, s_{k+\ell} \\ z_1, \dots, z_{k+\ell} \end{smallmatrix}]$ as a sum of other multiple zeta fractions. The multiple zeta fractions are linearly independent as a consequence, for instance, of the bijectivity of $\overline{\Phi}_{Ch}$. Thus the two linear combinations must be the same. More precisely,

$$\alpha_{x_0^{s_1-1} x_1 \cdots x_0^{s_k-1} x_1, x_0^{s_{k+1}-1} x_1 \cdots x_0^{s_{k+\ell}-1} x_1}^w = \alpha_{[e_1 + \cdots + e_k]^{s_1} \cdots [e_k]^{s_k}, [e_{k+1} + \cdots + e_{k+\ell}]^{s_{k+1}} \cdots [e_{k+\ell}]^{s_{k+\ell}}}^{\theta(w)}.$$

Hence we have the following

Theorem 5.3. *The shuffle relation of multiple zeta fractions and hence of MZVs corresponds via $\overline{\Phi}_{Ch}$ to the subdivision relations of decorated Chen cones. Equivalently, the shuffle product in the shuffle algebra $\mathcal{H}_1^{\text{III}}$ corresponds via θ in Diagram (25) to the subdivision relation of decorated closed Chen cones.*

5.2. Double subdivision relations and double shuffle relations. We now put the open subdivision relation and closed subdivision relation together to form the double subdivision relation for CZVs that generalizes the double shuffle relation for MZVs.

In order to relate the open and closed subdivision relations, we first relate the open and closed decorated cones. We show that they are essentially the transpose of each other.

Definition 5.4. (a) Let $O(\mathbb{Z})$ denote the set of $r \times r$ orthonormal matrices. Let $M \in O(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\vec{s} := (s_1, \dots, s_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r$. Let $v_1, \dots, v_r$ and $u_1, \dots, u_r$ be the row and column vectors of M . The **(decorated) cone pair** associated with M and $\vec{s}$ is the pair (C, D) consisting of the decorated open cone $C := C_{M, \vec{s}} = (\langle u_1, \dots, u_r \rangle^o, \vec{s})$ and the decorated closed cone $D := D_{M, \vec{s}} = [v_1]^{s_1} \cdots [v_r]^{s_r}$. We call the pair convergent if the corresponding ζ -values $\zeta^0(C)$ and $\zeta^c(D)$ converge.

(b) Let $\mathcal{DTP}$ denote the set of cone pairs $(C_{M, \vec{s}}, D_{M, \vec{s}})$ where $M \in O(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r$. Let

$$p^o : \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{DTP} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{DC}^o$$

and

$$p^c : \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{DTP} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{DMC}^c$$

denote the natural projections.

Remark 5.5. (a) Let $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subseteq [r]$ be the support of $\vec{s}$, namely $I := \{i \in \{1, \dots, r\} \mid s_i \neq 0\}$. Then $[v_1]^{s_1} \cdots [v_r]^{s_r} = [v_{i_1}]^{s_{i_1}} \cdots [v_{i_k}]^{s_{i_k}}$.

(b) By definition, for a cone pair (C, D) , the vectors in C are the column vectors of a matrix whose row vectors are the vectors in D . Thus C can be regarded as a transpose of D and will be denoted by D^T even though such a C is not unique for a given D . For example, for the decorated closed cone $D := [e_1]^2 [e_1 + e_2] = [e_1 + e_2] [e_1]^2$, we can choose $D^T = (\langle e_1 + e_2, e_2 \rangle^o; 2, 1)$ or $D^T = (\langle e_1 + e_2, e_1 \rangle^o; 1, 2)$.

(c) The central objects of study in this article are open convex cones $C = \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbb{R}_{>0} v_i$ spanned by

vectors $v_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^k$, $1 \leq i \leq r$. Whereas the order of the vectors does not play a role for zeta functions associated with cones, whether open or closed, it implicitly does when bringing them together to prove double shuffle relations. We chose to adopt a geometric approach

putting geometric cones in the forefront when ignoring the order of the generating vectors. Another possible and more algebraic focus would be to start off from framed cones, namely cones together with an ordered set of generating vectors, a point of view we intend to explore in a forthcoming paper.

Lemma 5.6. (a) *The map p^c is surjective. In other words, for any $D \in \mathcal{DMC}^c$, there is a decorated open cone D^T such that (D^T, D) is a cone pair.*

(b) *For any cone pair $(C, D) \in \mathcal{DTP}$, if $C \in \mathcal{DC}_0^o$ or $D \in \mathcal{DMC}_0^c$, then we have*

$$\zeta^o(C) = \zeta^c(D).$$

(c) *Any LZV is a CZV.*

Remark 5.7. Even though a given decorated closed cone D can have multiple decorated open cones C such that (C, D) is a cone pair, by Lemma 5.6.(b), these decorated open cones give the same CZV.

Proof. (a) Let $D := [v_1]^{s_1} \cdots [v_k]^{s_k}$ be in $\mathcal{DMC}^c$. Then $v_1, \dots, v_k$ is part of a $\mathbb{Z}$ basis $v_1, \dots, v_k, \dots, v_r$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r$. Let $M \in O_{r \times r}(\mathbb{Z})$ be the matrix with $v_1, \dots, v_r$ as row vectors and let $s_1, \dots, s_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $s_{k+1} = \dots = s_r = 0$. Then $C = (\langle v_1, \dots, v_r \rangle, s_1, \dots, s_r)$ and $D = [v_1]^{s_1} \cdots [v_k]^{s_k}$ build a cone pair so that $[v_1]^{s_1} \cdots [v_k]^{s_k}$ lies in $\text{im } p^c$.

(b) Let $C = (\langle u_1, \dots, u_r \rangle; s_1, \dots, s_r)$ with $u_j = \sum_{i=1}^r a_{ij} e_i$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, then

$$n_1 e_1 + \cdots + n_r e_r = m_1 u_1 + \cdots + m_r u_r = \sum_{j=1}^r \left(\sum_{i=1}^r a_{ij} m_i \right) e_j.$$

Thus $C \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r \subseteq \{(m_1, \dots, m_r) \mid m_i \geq 1, 1 \leq i \leq r\}$. Since the matrix (a_{ij}) lies in $O_{r \times r}(\mathbb{Z})$, the inclusion in the other direction also holds. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^o(A) &= \sum_{\vec{n} \in C^T \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^k} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} \cdots n_k^{s_k}} \\ &= \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_r \geq 1} \frac{1}{(\sum_{i=1}^r c_{i1} m_i)^{s_1} \cdots (\sum_{i=1}^r c_{ir} m_i)^{s_r}} \\ &= \zeta^c(B). \end{aligned}$$

(c) follows from Item (a) and Item (b). $\square$

Let $\mathcal{DTP}_0$ be the set of **convergent cone pairs** (C, D) , i.e., with either $\zeta^o(A)$ or $\zeta^c(B)$ convergent. On the grounds of the above lemma, we have restricted maps:

$$p^o : \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{DTP}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{DC}_0^o,$$

and

$$p^c : \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{DTP}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{DMC}_0^c$$

with p^c surjective. Here the subscript 0 stands for the restriction to the set of cones for which the corresponding conical ζ -values converge.

Thanks to Lemma 5.6, we obtain a linear map

$$(26) \quad \zeta^c : \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{DMC}_0^c \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{CZV}^o, \quad D \mapsto \zeta^c(D) = \zeta^o(C),$$

where (C, D) is a cone pair. The following result is immediate from Theorem 4.10.

Theorem 5.8. *Let (C, D) be a convergent cone pair. Let $\{C_i\}_i$ be an open subdivision of the decorated open cone C and let $\sum_j c_j D_j$ be a subdivision of the decorated closed cone D . Also let $D_j^T \in \mathcal{DC}^o$ be the transpose cone of D_j , that is, (D_j^T, D_j) is a cone pair. Then*

$$(27) \quad \sum_i C_i - \sum_j c_j D_j^T$$

lies in the kernel of ζ^o .

A linear combination in Eq. (27) is called a **double subdivision relation** and we shall see that it generalizes the usual double shuffle relation.

Proof. By Lemma 2.7, for the open subdivision $\{C_i\}_i$ of the decorated open cone C , we have

$$(28) \quad \zeta^o(C) = \sum_i \zeta^o(C_i).$$

On the other hand, for the closed subdivision $\sum_j a_j D_j$ of the decorated closed cone D , by Lemma 5.2, we have

$$(29) \quad \zeta^c(D) = \sum_j a_j \zeta^c(D_j).$$

Then by Lemma 5.6.(a), there are open decorated cones D_j^T such that (D_j^T, D_j) is in $\mathcal{DT}\mathcal{P}_0$. Further by Lemma 5.6.(b), we also have $\zeta^c(D) = \zeta^o(C)$ and $\zeta^c(D_j) = \zeta^o(D_j^T)$. Therefore combining with Eqs. (28) and (29) we obtain

$$\sum_i \zeta^o(C_i) = \zeta^o(C) = \sum_j a_j \zeta^o(D_j^T),$$

as needed. $\square$

In summary, we have the following commutative diagram where the subscript 0 stands for restricting to cones of which the corresponding zeta values are convergent. The inner triangle gives the double shuffle relation. There $\mathcal{H}_0^*$ is the quasi-shuffle algebra defined in Eq. (9). The left part of the diagram is from Eq. (12). The commutativity of the outer triangle follows from Lemma 5.6. The map ζ^{III} is the usual shuffle encoding of MZVs, here expressed as the composition of θ with the free summation :

$$\zeta^{\text{III}}(x_0^{s_1-1} x_1 \cdots x_0^{s_k-1} x_k) = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{(m_1 + \cdots + m_k)^{s_1} \cdots m_k^{s_k}} = \zeta(s_1, \dots, s_k).$$

The map T is well-defined on $\mathbb{Q}\mathcal{DC}\mathcal{H}^c$ from the standard form of Chen cones:

$$T([e_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + e_{\sigma(n)}]^{s_1} \cdots [e_{\sigma(n)}]^{s_n}) = (\langle e_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, e_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + e_{\sigma(n)} \rangle^o; s_1, \dots, s_n).$$

$$(30) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \xleftarrow{p^o} & \mathbb{QDT}\mathcal{P}_0 & \xrightarrow{p^c} & \\ & & & & & \mathbb{QDM}\mathcal{C}_0^c \\ & \swarrow & & & \searrow & \\ \mathbb{QDC}_0^o & & & & & \\ & \searrow & & & \swarrow & \\ & & \mathbb{QDC}_0^o & \xleftarrow{T} & \mathbb{QDC}_0^c & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ & & \mathcal{H}_0^* & \xleftarrow{\eta} & \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{III}} & \\ & \searrow & & & \swarrow & \\ & & \mathbb{QMZV} & & & \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & & \swarrow & \\ & & \mathbb{QEZV}^o & & & \end{array}$$

ζ^o (curved arrow from $\mathbb{QDC}_0^o$ to $\mathbb{QEZV}^o$)
 ζ^* (arrow from $\mathcal{H}_0^*$ to $\mathbb{QMZV}$)
 ζ^{III} (arrow from $\mathcal{H}_0^{\text{III}}$ to $\mathbb{QMZV}$)
 ζ^c (curved arrow from $\mathbb{QDM}\mathcal{C}_0^c$ to $\mathbb{QEZV}^o$)

In Corollary 5.20 we will prove the surjectivity of ζ^o and ζ^c , as in the case of MZVs.

Definition 5.9. For any not necessarily convergent cone pair (C, D) , let $\{C_i\}$ be a subdivision of C and $\sum_j a_j D_j$ a subdivision of D . If $\sum_i C_i - \sum_j a_j D_j^T$ is in $\mathbb{QDM}\mathcal{C}_0^o$, then it is called an **extended double subdivision relation**.

Conjecture 5.10. The kernel of ζ^o is the subspace I_{EDS} of $\mathbb{QDM}\mathcal{C}_0^o$ generated by the extended double subdivision relations.

In view of the Double Shuffle Conjecture for MZVs, we also make the following

Conjecture 5.11. The intersection $I_{EDS} \cap \mathbb{QDC}\mathcal{H}^o$, identified with a subset of $\mathcal{H}_0^*$ by the bijection $\mathbb{QDC}\mathcal{H}^o \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_0^*$, is the extended double shuffle ideal I_{EDS} of $\mathcal{H}_0^*$.

Verifying this conjecture would provide evidence for the Double Shuffle Conjecture.

5.2.1. *Examples.* We demonstrate the utility of double subdivision relations by some examples. The first example illustrates how the double shuffle relation of MZVs can be obtained when subdivisions of open and closed cones are used in place of quasi-shuffles and shuffles.

Example 5.12. Consider the cone pair (C, D) associated with $M = I_{2 \times 2} \in O_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\vec{s} = (2, 2)$. Then $C = (\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle^o; 2, 2)$ and $D = [e_1]^2 [e_2]^2$. From the open subdivision

$$\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle^o = \langle e_1, e_1 + e_2 \rangle^o \sqcup \langle e_2, e_1 + e_2 \rangle^o \sqcup \langle e_1 + e_2 \rangle^o,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(2)\zeta(2) &= \zeta^o(\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle^o; 2, 2) \\ &= \zeta^o(\langle e_1, e_1 + e_2 \rangle^o; 2, 2) + \zeta^o(\langle e_2, e_1 + e_2 \rangle^o; 2, 2) + \zeta^o(\langle e_1 + e_2 \rangle^o; 2, 2) \\ &= 2\zeta(2, 2) + \zeta(4), \end{aligned}$$

giving the quasi-shuffle relation for $\zeta(2)\zeta(2)$.

On the other hand, we have

$$\zeta^c([e_1]^2 [e_2]^2) = \zeta(2)\zeta(2).$$

Differentiating the subdivision

$$[e_1][e_2] < [e_1][e_1 + e_2] + [e_2][e_1 + e_2]$$

we have the decorated subdivision

$$[e_1]^2[e_2]^2 < [e_1]^2[e_1 + e_2]^2 + 2[e_1][e_1 + e_2]^3 + 2[e_2][e_1 + e_2]^3 + [e_2]^2[e_1 + e_2]^2.$$

Applying ζ^c we obtain the shuffle relation

$$\zeta(2)\zeta(2) = 4\zeta(3, 1) + 2\zeta(2, 2).$$

Altogether, we recover the double shuffle relation $\zeta(4) = 4\zeta(3, 1)$.

The next example provides an alternative way to apply the double subdivision relation to get a double shuffle relation of MZVs, that bypasses the stuffle and shuffle products in that there is no presence of products of MZVs. Compare with the previous example.

Example 5.13. Consider the cone pair $((\langle e_1, e_1 + e_2 \rangle^0; 2, 2), [e_1 + e_2]^2[e_2]^2)$ associated with the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\vec{s} = (2, 2)$. The open cone subdivision

$$\langle e_1, e_1 + e_2 \rangle^0 = \langle e_1, 2e_1 + e_2 \rangle^0 \sqcup \langle 2e_1 + e_2, e_1 + e_2 \rangle^0 \sqcup \langle 2e_1 + e_2 \rangle^0$$

gives the following subdivision of the decorated open cone

$$(31) \quad (\langle e_1, e_1 + e_2 \rangle^0; 2, 2) < (\langle e_1, 2e_1 + e_2 \rangle^0; 2, 2) + (\langle 2e_1 + e_2, e_1 + e_2 \rangle^0; 2, 2) + (\langle 2e_1 + e_2 \rangle^0; 2, 2).$$

On the other hand, the closed cone subdivision $[e_1 + e_2][e_2] < [e_1 + e_2][e_1 + 2e_2] + [e_1 + 2e_2][e_2]$ induces the following subdivision of the decorated closed cone

$$[e_1 + e_2]^2[e_2]^2 < [e_1 + e_2]^2[e_1 + 2e_2]^2 + 2[e_1 + e_2][e_1 + 2e_2]^3 + [e_2]^2[e_1 + 2e_2]^2 + 2[e_2][e_1 + 2e_2]^3.$$

Taking the transposes of the right hand side we have

$$(\langle e_1 + e_2, 2e_1 + e_2 \rangle^0; 2, 2) + 2(\langle e_1 + e_2, 2e_1 + e_2 \rangle^0; 3, 1) + (\langle e_1, 2e_1 + e_2 \rangle^0; 2, 2) + 2(\langle e_1, 2e_1 + e_2 \rangle^0; 3, 1).$$

Combining with Eq. (31) we obtain the double subdivision relation

$$(\langle 2e_1 + e_2 \rangle^0; 2, 2) - 2(\langle e_1 + e_2, 2e_1 + e_2 \rangle^0; 3, 1) - 2(\langle e_1, 2e_1 + e_2 \rangle^0; 3, 1).$$

Then by Theorem 5.8), we have

$$\zeta^o(\langle 2e_1 + e_2 \rangle^0; 2, 2) = 2\zeta^o(\langle e_1 + e_2, 2e_1 + e_2 \rangle^0; 3, 1) + 2\zeta^o(\langle e_1, 2e_1 + e_2 \rangle^0; 3, 1).$$

Since $\zeta^o(\langle 2e_1 + e_2 \rangle^0, (2, 2)) = \frac{1}{4}\zeta(4)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \zeta^o(\langle e_1 + e_2, 2e_1 + e_2 \rangle^0; 3, 1) + \zeta^o(\langle e_1, 2e_1 + e_2 \rangle^0; 3, 1) \\ &= \zeta^o(\langle e_1, e_1 + e_2 \rangle^0; 3, 1) - \zeta^o(\langle 2e_1 + e_2 \rangle^0; 3, 1) \\ &= \zeta(3, 1) - \zeta(4), \end{aligned}$$

we derive the formula $\zeta(4) = 4\zeta(3, 1)$ in a way that differs from the double shuffle approach.

The next example shows that double subdivision relations can give relations between MZVs and CZVs.

Example 5.14. We give a double subdivision relation of the cone pair

$$((\langle e_1, e_1 + e_2 \rangle^0; 2, 1), [e_1 + e_2]^2[e_2]).$$

An subdivision of the open cone $\langle e_1, e_1 + e_2 \rangle^0$ yields

$$(32) \quad (\langle e_1, e_1 + e_2 \rangle^0; 2, 1) < (\langle e_1, 2e_1 + e_2 \rangle^0; 2, 1) + (\langle 2e_1 + e_2, e_1 + e_2 \rangle^0; 2, 1) + (\langle 2e_1 + e_2 \rangle^0; 2, 1).$$

On the other hand, we deduce from the subdivision

$$[e_1 + e_2][e_2] < [e_1 + 2e_2][e_2] + [e_1 + e_2][e_1 + 2e_2]$$

the following subdivision for the decorated closed cone $[e_1 + e_2]^2[e_2]$

$$[e_1 + e_2]^2[e_2] < [e_1 + 2e_2]^2[e_2] + [e_1 + e_2]^2[e_1 + 2e_2] + [e_1 + 2e_2]^2[e_1 + e_2].$$

Taking the transposes we get

$$(\langle e_1, 2e_1 + e_2 \rangle^0; 2, 1) + (\langle e_1 + e_2, e_1 + 2e_2 \rangle^0; 2, 1) + (\langle e_1 + e_2, 2e_1 + e_2 \rangle^0; 2, 1).$$

Combining with Eq. (32) we obtain the double subdivision relation

$$(\langle 2e_1 + e_2 \rangle^0; 2, 1) - (\langle e_1 + e_2, e_1 + 2e_2 \rangle^0; 2, 1).$$

By Theorem 5.8, we have

$$\zeta^o(\langle 2e_1 + e_2 \rangle^0; 2, 1) = \zeta^o(\langle e_1 + e_2, e_1 + 2e_2 \rangle^0; 2, 1).$$

Noting that $\zeta^o(\langle 2e_1 + e_2 \rangle^0, (2, 1)) = \frac{1}{4}\zeta(3)$. Then we obtain the following analog of the Euler sum formula.

$$\zeta(3) = 4\zeta^o(\langle e_1 + e_2, e_1 + 2e_2 \rangle^0; 2, 1).$$

5.3. Conical zeta values and Shintani zeta values. We show that CZVs span the same space as the space of Shintani zeta values.

5.3.1. Fractions and smooth fractions.

Proposition 5.15. (a) Any fraction of the form $\frac{1}{L_1^{s_1} \cdots L_k^{s_k}}$ where $L_1, \dots, L_k$ are linear forms in $K[\vec{z}]$ is a linear combination of pure fractions.

(b) Any fraction of the form $\frac{1}{L_1^{s_1} \cdots L_k^{s_k}}$ where $L_1, \dots, L_k$ are linear forms in $K[\vec{z}]$ with non-negative coefficients is a positive linear combination of pure fractions whose linear forms have positive non-negative coefficients.

Proof. (a) By the unique factorization in $K[\vec{z}]$, we can uniquely write such a fraction as $f = \frac{a}{L_1^{s_1} \cdots L_k^{s_k}}$, where the L_i 's are not multiples of one another. We then denote $d := d(f) = k - \text{rk}\{L_1, \dots, L_k\}$.

We prove Item (a) by induction on d . If $d = 0$, then $L_1, \dots, L_k$ are already linearly independent and we are done.

Suppose Item (a) has been proved for all fractions with $d = m \geq 0$ and consider a fraction f with $d = m + 1$. Then $d > 0$ and $k > \text{rk}\{L_1, \dots, L_k\}$. Thus there is a linearly independent subset $L_{i_1}, \dots, L_{i_\ell}$ of $\{L_1, \dots, L_k\}$ and $L_{i_{\ell+1}} \notin \{L_{i_1}, \dots, L_{i_\ell}\}$ such that

$$(33) \quad L_{i_{\ell+1}} = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} a_j L_{i_j}, \quad a_j \neq 0, 1 \leq j \leq \ell.$$

Since the linear forms L_i are not multiples of one another, we have $\ell \geq 2$. Thus

$$(34) \quad \frac{1}{L_{i_1} \cdots L_{i_\ell}} = \frac{L_{i_{\ell+1}}}{L_{i_1} \cdots L_{i_\ell} L_{i_{\ell+1}}} = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \frac{a_j}{L_{i_1} \cdots \hat{L}_{i_j} \cdots L_{i_\ell} L_{i_{\ell+1}}},$$

where $\hat{L}_{i_j}$ indicates that the factor L_{i_j} is absent. Implementing this procedure reduces the total degree of $L_{i_1}, \dots, L_{i_\ell}$ by one. An iteration of this substitution procedure inside f , terminates after finitely many times, when exactly one of the L_{i_j} 's ($1 \leq j \leq \ell$) disappears.

Each term in the resulting sum is of the form $g := \frac{1}{L_{i_1}^{t_1} \cdots \hat{L}_{i_j}^{t_j} \cdots L_{i_\ell}^{t_\ell} L_{i_{\ell+1}}^{t_{\ell+1}}}$, $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. Hence the linear forms are still not multiples of one another. Furthermore, by Eq. (33), we have

$$\text{rk}\{L_{i_1}, \dots, L_{i_\ell}\} = \text{rk}\{L_{i_1}, \dots, \hat{L}_{i_j}, \dots, L_{i_\ell}, L_{i_{\ell+1}}\} = \ell, \quad 1 \leq j \leq \ell.$$

Hence

$$\text{rk}\{L_1, \dots, L_k\} = \text{rk}(\{L_1, \dots, L_k\} \setminus \{L_{i_j}\}), \quad 1 \leq j \leq \ell.$$

Thus

$$d(g) = k - 1 - \text{rk}(\{L_1, \dots, L_k\} \setminus \{L_{i_j}\}) = k - 1 - \text{rk}\{L_1, \dots, L_k\} = d(f) - 1 = m.$$

It follows from the induction hypothesis, that each term g in the resulting sum is a linear combination of pure fractions. Then the same is true for f itself. This completes the induction.

(b) For f in Item (b) define $d(f)$ in the same way. We prove the statement by induction on d . If $d = 0$, then $L_1, \dots, L_k$ are already linearly independent and we are done.

Suppose the proposition has been proved for all fractions with $d = m \geq 0$ and consider a fraction $f = \frac{1}{L_1^{s_1} \cdots L_k^{s_k}}$ with $d = m + 1$. Define

$$\delta(f) := \min \left\{ p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid \begin{array}{l} \exists \text{ linearly independent } L_{i_1}, \dots, L_{i_\ell} \text{ and } L_j \notin \{L_{i_1}, \dots, L_{i_\ell}\} \text{ such that} \\ L_j = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} c_j L_{i_j}, \text{ with } c_j \neq 0, 1 \leq j \leq \ell \text{ and } p \text{ of which being negative} \end{array} \right\}.$$

We will prove Item (b) for f with $d = m + 1$ by induction on $\delta(f) \geq 0$. Since the linear forms L_i are not multiples of one another, we have $\ell \geq 2$. If $\delta(f) = 0$, then there is a linearly independent set $\{L_{i_1}, \dots, L_{i_\ell}\}$ and $L_{i_{\ell+1}}$ not in this set such that $L_{i_{\ell+1}} = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} a_j L_{i_j}$ with positive coefficients. Then following the substitutions in Item (a), we find that f is linear combination of fractions of the form $g := \frac{1}{L_{i_1}^{t_1} \cdots \hat{L}_{i_j}^{t_j} \cdots L_{i_\ell}^{t_\ell} L_{i_{\ell+1}}^{t_{\ell+1}}}$, $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. Since all the a_j 's are positive, the coefficients of this linear combination is also positive. Further as in the proof of Item (a), by the induction hypothesis, each term g is a linear combination, with positive coefficients, of pure fractions whose linear forms have positive coefficients. Thus f is also such a linear combination. This completes the induction on d in the case when $\delta(f) = 0$.

Suppose the induction on d is proved when $\delta(f) = q \geq 0$ and consider a fraction $f = \frac{1}{L_1^{s_1} \cdots L_k^{s_k}}$ with $\delta(f) = q + 1$. Let $\{L_{i_1}, \dots, L_{i_\ell}\}$ be independent and $L_{i_{\ell+1}} \notin \{L_{i_1}, \dots, L_{i_\ell}\}$ such that Eq. (33) holds with $q + 1$ negative coefficients. Reordering the linear forms if necessary, we can assume $a_1 < 0$. Applying

$$\frac{1}{L_{i_1} L_{i_{\ell+1}}} = \frac{1}{L_{i_1}(-a_1 L_{i_1} + L_{i_{\ell+1}})} + \frac{-a_1}{(-a_1 L_{i_1} + L_{i_{\ell+1}})},$$

we obtain

$$\frac{1}{L_{i_1}^{s_1} L_{i_{\ell+1}}^{s_{\ell+1}}} = \sum_j \frac{\alpha_j}{L_{i_1}^{u_j} (-a_1 L_{i_1} + L_{i_{\ell+1}})^{v_j}} + \sum_j \frac{\beta_j}{(-a_1 L_{i_1} + L_{i_{\ell+1}})^{w_j} L_{i_{\ell+1}}^{x_j}}$$

with non-negative α_i and β_j 's. Hence

$$(35) \quad \frac{1}{L_1^{s_1} \cdots L_k^{s_k}} = \sum_j \frac{\alpha_j}{L_{i_1}^{u_j} (-a_1 L_{i_1} + L_{i_{\ell+1}})^{v_j} L_2^{s_2} \cdots \widehat{L_{i_{\ell+1}}^{s_{i_{\ell+1}}}} \cdots L_k^{s_k}} + \sum_j \frac{\beta_j}{(-a_1 L_{i_1} + L_{i_{\ell+1}})^{w_j} L_{i_{\ell+1}}^{x_j} L_2^{s_2} \cdots \widehat{L_{i_{\ell+1}}^{s_{i_{\ell+1}}}} \cdots L_k^{s_k}}.$$

If $-a_1 L_{i_1} + L_{i_{\ell+1}}$ is a multiple of an L_j (this can happen for example when $\ell = 2$), then since both $-a_1 L_{i_1} + L_{i_{\ell+1}}$ and L_j are linear forms with positive coefficients, this multiple must also be positive. Thus in the above two sums, we can replace the power of L_j by that of $-a_1 L_{i_1} + L_{i_{\ell+1}}$ and still get a linear combination of positive coefficients. Thus we can assume that the linear forms in each term g of the two sums in Eq. (35) are not multiples of one another. Then the relation $-a_1 L_{i_1} = \sum_{j=2}^{\ell} a_j L_{i_j}$ in g shows that $\delta(g) \leq q$ and hence the induction hypothesis on $\delta(g)$ applies and expresses g as a positive linear combination prescribed in Item (b). Since the coefficients in Eq. (35) are positive, f also has the desired positive linear combination. This completes the induction for $\delta(f)$ and hence for d . $\square$

5.3.2. Conical zeta values and Shintani zeta values. Recall that for a matrix $M = (c_{ij}) \in M_{k \times r}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$, a **Shintani zeta value (SZV)** is the special value of the **Shintani zeta function** [22]

$$(36) \quad \zeta(M; s_1, \dots, s_k) = \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{m_r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(c_{11}m_1 + \cdots + c_{1r}m_r)^{s_1} \cdots (c_{k1}m_1 + \cdots + c_{kr}m_r)^{s_k}},$$

at $(s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ if the sum converges.

Proposition 5.16. (a) Let $C = \langle v_1, \dots, v_r \rangle$ be a smooth cone and let $M := M_C$ be the matrix with the spanning set $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$ of C as column vectors. Then

$$\zeta^o(C; s_1, \dots, s_k) = \zeta(M; s_1, \dots, s_k).$$

(b) Any CZV is a linear combination of Shintani zeta values.

Proof. (a) Since $C = \langle v_1, \dots, v_r \rangle^o$ is a smooth cone generated by primary set $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$, the set can be expanded to a $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^k$. Write $v_i = \sum_{j=1}^k c_{ij} e_j$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then $(c_{ij}) \in M_{k \times k}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$ has determinant 1. Then from $\sum_{i=1}^k n_i e_i = \sum_{j=1}^r m_j v_j = \sum_{j=1}^k m_j v_j$ where $m_i = 0$ for $r+1 \leq i \leq k$, we have

$$(n_1, \dots, n_k)^T = (c_{ji})(m_1, \dots, m_k)^T,$$

and hence

$$(m_1, \dots, m_k)^T = (c_{ji})^{-1}(n_1, \dots, n_k)^T.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^o(C; s_1, \dots, s_k) &= \sum_{\vec{n} \in C} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} \cdots n_k^{s_k}} \\ &= \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{(\sum_{i=1}^r c_{i1} m_i)^{s_1} \cdots (\sum_{i=1}^r c_{ik} m_i)^{s_k}} \\ &= \zeta(M; s_1, \dots, s_k). \end{aligned}$$

(b) Since any rational cone C can be subdivided into smooth cones $\{C_1, \dots, C_p\}$ by Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\zeta^o(C; s_1, \dots, s_k) = \sum_{i=1}^p \zeta^o(C_i; s_1, \dots, s_k),$$

hence is a linear combination of SZVs. $\square$

Definition 5.17. A matrix $M = (c_{ij}) \in M_{k \times n}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$ is of maximal rank if the rank of the matrix is k . The corresponding SZV will be called a **maximal rank SZV**.

Lemma 5.18. (a) A convergent SZV is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear combination of convergent maximal rank SZVs.

(b) Any convergent maximal rank SZV is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear combination of convergent LZVs.

Proof. (a) For $M = (c_{ij}) \in M_{k \times n}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$,

$$\zeta(M; s_1, \dots, s_k) = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n > 0} \frac{1}{(c_{11}m_1 + \dots + c_{1n}m_n)^{s_1} \dots (c_{k1}m_1 + \dots + c_{kn}m_n)^{s_k}}.$$

By Proposition 5.15.(b), the fraction $\frac{1}{(c_{11}m_1 + \dots + c_{1n}m_n)^{s_1} \dots (c_{k1}m_1 + \dots + c_{kn}m_n)^{s_k}}$ is a positive linear combination of fractions in which the linear forms are linearly independent and have positive coefficients. Since $\zeta(M; s_1, \dots, s_k)$ is convergent, all these fractions give rise to convergent SZVs, leading to the desired linear combination.

(b) For $M = (c_{ij}) \in M_{k \times n}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$ with maximal rank, i.e. $\text{rank}(M) = k$, we know that the cone spanned by vectors $v_1 = (c_{11}, \dots, c_{1n}), \dots, v_k = (c_{k1}, \dots, c_{kn})$ can be subdivided into smooth cones $C_i = [v_1^{(i)}] \dots [v_k^{(i)}]$, $v_j^{(i)} = (c_{j1}^{(i)}, \dots, c_{jn}^{(i)})$, $i = 1, \dots, l$, so we have subdivision relations,

$$\frac{1}{(c_{11}m_1 + \dots + c_{1n}m_n) \dots (c_{k1}m_1 + \dots + c_{kn}m_n)} = \sum_i \frac{a_i}{(c_{11}^{(i)}m_1 + \dots + c_{1n}^{(i)}m_n) \dots (c_{k1}^{(i)}m_1 + \dots + c_{kn}^{(i)}m_n)}$$

where the a_i 's are positive rational numbers.

Taking derivatives and applying Proposition 4.8 yields the conclusion. $\square$

Theorem 5.19. Convergent CZVs, LZVs and SZVs span the same linear space over $\mathbb{Q}$. Thus

$$\mathbb{Q}\mathcal{CZV} = \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{LZV} = \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{SZV}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.18, we have $\mathbb{Q}\mathcal{SZV} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{LZV}$. By Lemma 5.6 we have $\mathbb{Q}\mathcal{LZV} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{CZV}$. By Proposition 5.16.(b), we have $\mathbb{Q}\mathcal{CZV} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{SZV}$. $\square$

Corollary 5.20. The linear maps ζ^o and ζ^c are surjective.

Acknowledgements: L. Guo acknowledges support from NSF grant DMS 1001855. B. Zhang thanks support from NSFC grant 11071176 and 11221101.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. André, Une Introduction aux Motifs, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2004. 2
- [2] N. Berline and M. Vergne, Local Euler-Maclaurin formula for polytopes, *Mosc. Math. J.* **7** (2007) 355C386, 573, [arXiv:math/0507256](#). 4
- [3] J. M. Borwein, D. J. Broadhurst, D. M. Bradley, and P. Lisoněk, Special values of multiple polylogarithms, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **353**, (2001), no. 3, 907–941. 2
- [4] D. Bowman and D. Bradley, Resolution of some open problems concerning multiple zeta evaluations of arbitrary depth, *Compos. Math.* **139** (2003), 85–100. 2

- [5] D. J. Broadhurst and D. Kreimer, Association of multiple zeta values with positive knots via Feynman diagrams up to 9 loops, *Phys. Lett. B*, **393**, (1997), no. 3-4, 403–412. [2](#)
- [6] F. C. S. Brown, Mixed Tate motives over $\mathbb{Z}$, *Annals of Math.* **175** (2012) 949-976, [arXiv:1102.1312\[AG\]](#). [2](#)
- [7] A. Connes, D. Kreimer, Hopf algebras, Renormalisation and Noncommutative Geometry, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **199** (1998) 203-242 [4](#)
- [8] W. Fulton: Introduction to toric varieties, Princeton University Press, 1993. [4](#), [6](#)
- [9] S. Garoufaldis and J. Pommersheim: Sum-integral interpolators and the Euler-Maclaurin formula for polytopes, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **364** (2012), 2933-2958. [10](#)
- [10] A. B. Goncharov and Y. Manin, Multiple ζ -motives and moduli spaces $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}$, *Compos. Math.* **140** (2004), 1-14. [2](#), [4](#)
- [11] L. Guo and B. Xie, The shuffle relation of fractions from multiple zeta values, *Ramanujan Jour.* **25** (2011) 307-317. [3](#), [23](#), [24](#)
- [12] L. Guo and B. Zhang, Renormalization of multiple zeta values, *J. Algebra* **319** (2008) 3770-3809. [2](#), [4](#)
- [13] L. Guo and B. Zhang, Polylogarithms and multiple zeta values from free Rota-Baxter algebras, *Sci. China Math.* **53** (2010), no. 9, 2239-2258. [23](#)
- [14] M. E. Hoffman, Periods of mirrors and multiple zeta values, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **130** (2002) 971-974. [2](#)
- [15] M. E. Hoffman, Quasi-shuffle products, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **11**, 49-68 (2000). [2](#), [23](#)
- [16] M. E. Hoffman, The algebra of multiple harmonic series, *J. Algebra*, **194**, no. 2, (1997), 477-495. [2](#)
- [17] M. E. Hoffman, Multiple harmonic series, *Pacific J. Math.*, **152** (1992), no. 2, 275–290. [2](#)
- [18] K. Ihara, M. Kaneko and D. Zagier, Derivation and double shuffle relations for multiple zeta values, *Compos. Math.* **142** (2006) 307C338. [2](#), [23](#)
- [19] J. Lawrence, Rational-function-valued valuations on polyhedra, Discrete and computational geometry (New Brunswick, NJ, 1989/1990), DIMACS Ser. Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput. Sci., vol. 6, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (1991), 199-208. [10](#)
- [20] T.Q.T. Le and J. Murakami, Kontsevich integral for the Homfly polynomial and relations between values of the multiple zeta functions, *Topology Appl.* **62** (1995) 193-206. [2](#), [3](#)
- [21] D. Manchon and S. Paycha, Nested sums of symbols and renormalized multiple zeta values. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2010, 4628-4697. [4](#)
- [22] K. Matsumoto, On the analytic continuation of various multiple-zeta functions, In “Number Theory for the Millennium (Urbana, 2000)”, Vol. II, M. A. Bennett et. al. (eds.), A. K. Peters, Natick, MA, 2002, 417-440. [32](#)
- [23] G. Racinet, Doubles mélanges des polylogarithmes multiples aux racines de l’unité, *Pub. Math. IHES*, **95** (2002), 185-231. [2](#)
- [24] T. Terasoma, Mixed Tate motives and multiple zeta values, *Invent. Math.* **149** (2002), 339-369. [2](#)
- [25] D. Zagier, Values of zeta functions and their applications, First European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. II (Paris, 1992), 497–512, *Progr. Math.*, **120**, Birkhuser, Basel, 1994 [2](#)
- [26] D. Zagier, Evaluation of the multiple zeta values $\zeta(2, \dots, 2, 3, 2, \dots, 2)$, *Annals of Math.* **175** (2012), 977-1000. [2](#)
- [27] G. Ziegler, Lectures on polytopes, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics* **152**, Springer Verlag 1994. [4](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, NEWARK, NJ 07102, USA
E-mail address: liguo@rutgers.edu

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF POTSDAM, AM NEUEN PALAIS 10, D-14469 POTSDAM, GERMANY
E-mail address: paycha@math.uni-potsdam.de

YANGTZE CENTER OF MATHEMATICS, SICHUAN UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU, 610064, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: zhangbin@scu.edu.cn